

COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM ON FUZZY METRIC SPACE USING COMPATIBLE MAPPINGS OF TYPE (R)

B.VIJAYABASKER REDDY¹, V.SRINIVAS²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Sreenidhi Institute of Science and Technology, Ghatkesar, Hyderabad, India-501 301.

² Department of Mathematics, University college of Science Saifabad, Osmania University Hyderabad, India.

Abstract:

The purpose of this paper is to prove a common fixed point theorem for four self maps in a fuzzy metric space using the concept compatible mappings of type (R). Finally we give an example to our main result.

Keywords: Fixed point, Fuzzy metric space and Compatible mappings of Type (R).

AMS (2000) Mathematics Classification: 54H25, 47H10

1. Introduction

In 1965, the concept of fuzzy set was introduced by Zadeh [1] which laid the foundation of fuzzy mathematics. George and Veeramani [3] modified the notation of fuzzy metric space introduced by Kramosil and Mechalek [2]. Grabic [4] obtained the Banach contraction principle in fuzzy version. In 2004 R Singh et al [14] initiated concept of compatible mappings of Type (R).

Definitions and Preliminaries

Definition 1.1: A binary operation $*$: $[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is called continuous t-norm if $*$ satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $*$ is commutative and associative
- (ii) $*$ is continuous
- (iii) $a * 1 = a$ for all $a \in [0,1]$
- (iv) $a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ for all $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$

Definition 1.2: A 3-tuple $(X, M, *)$ is said to be fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary set, $*$ is continuous t-norm and M is a fuzzy set on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions for all $x, y, z \in X, s, t > 0$

- (FM-1) $M(x, y, 0) = 0$
- (FM-2) $M(x, y, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ if and only if $x = y$
- (FM-3) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$
- (FM-4) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t+s)$
- (FM-5) $M(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is left continuous
- (FM-6) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t) = 1$ for all x, y in X

the function $M(x, y, t)$ denote the degree of nearness between x and y with respect to 't'.

Example 1.3 (Induced fuzzy metric space): Let (X, d) be a metric space defined $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$,

$$M(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x, y)} \quad \text{---(a)}$$

Then $(X, M, *)$ is a fuzzy metric space. We call this fuzzy metric M induced by metric d the standard fuzzy metric. From the above example every metric induces a fuzzy metric but there exist no metric on X satisfying (a).

Definition 1.4: Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space then a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ in X is said to be convergent to a point $x \in X$, if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1 \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

Definition 1.5: A sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ in X is called a Cauchy sequence if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ and $p > 0$.

Definition 1.6: A fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent to a point in X .

Lemma 1.7 : For all $x, y \in X$, $M(x, y, \cdot)$ is non decreasing.

Lemma 1.8: let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space if there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $M(x, y, kt) \geq M(x, y, t)$ then $x = y$.

Proposition 1.9: In the fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ if $a * a \geq a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$ then $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$.

In 2004, Rohan et al. introduced the concept of compatible mappings of Type (R) in metric space as follows:

Definition 1.10: Let f and g be two mappings of a metric space (X, d) into itself. Then f and g are called compatible of type (R) if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fg x_n, gfx_n) = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ff x_n, gg x_n) = 0$ whenever $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g x_n = z$ for some $z \in X$.

Now Compatible mapping of Type (R) in fuzzy metric space as follows

Definition 1.11: Let S and T be two mappings of a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ into itself. Then S and T are called compatible of type (R) if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ST x_n, TS x_n, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(SS x_n, TT x_n, t) = 0$ whenever $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_n = z$ for some $z \in X$.

Proposition 1.12: Let S and T be compatible mappings of type (R) of a complete fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ into itself. If $Sz = Tz$ for some $t \in X$ then $STz = SSz = TTz = TSz$.

Proposition 1.13: Let S and T be mappings from a complete fuzzy metric space into itself. If a pair (S, T) is compatible is compatible type (R) on X and if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_n = z$ for some $z \in X$ then we have

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(TS x_n, Sz, t) = 1$ if S is continuous
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ST x_n, Tz, t) = 1$ if T is continuous
- (iii) $STz = TSz$ if S and T are continuous at z .

Proof: (i) Suppose that S is continuous at z . Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_n = z$ for some $z \in X$,

we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SS x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ST x_n = Sz$. Since S and T are compatible of type (R), then we have

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(TS x_n, Sz, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(SS x_n, TT x_n, t) = 1$. Therefore $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} TS x_n = Sz$

(ii) Similar arguments as in (i)

(iii) Suppose S and T are continuous at z and $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a sequence in X defined $x_n = z$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) for some $z \in X$.

Since $S x_n \rightarrow z$, $T x_n \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and S is continuous at z , by (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(TS x_n, Sz, t) = 1$.

On the other hand, T is also continuous at z , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(TS x_n, Tz, t) = 1$. Thus, we have $Sz = Tz$ by the uniqueness of limit and also by proposition 1.12, $STz = TSz$.

This completes the proof.

Main results:

2.1 Theorem: Let A, B, S and T are self maps of a complete Fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ satisfying the conditions

2.1.1 $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$ and $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$

$$2.1.2 [M(Ax, By, kt)]^2 * M(Ax, By, kt)M(Ty, Sx, kt) \geq \{k_1 [M(By, Sx, 2kt) * M(Ax, Ty, 2kt)] + k_2 [M(Ax, Sx, kt) * M(By, Ty, kt)]\}M(Ty, Sx, t)$$

Where for all x, y in X and $k_1, k_2 \geq 0, k_1 + k_2 \geq 1$

2.1.3 one of the mappings A, B, S and T is continuous

2.1.4 the pairs (T, B) and (S, A) are compatible of type (R) then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point z in X .

2.1.5 **Lemma:** Let A, B, S and T be self mappings from a complete fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ into itself satisfying the conditions (2.1.1) and (2.1.2). Then the sequence $\{y_n\}$ defined by $y_{2n} = Bx_{2n} = Sx_{2n+1}$ and $y_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n+2}$ for $n \geq 0$ relative to four self maps is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Proof of the Lemma: Let x_0 be any arbitrary point of $X, B(X) \subseteq S(X)$ and $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and there exists $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $Bx_0 = Sx_1$ and $Ax_1 = Tx_2$. Inductively we construct a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ and $\langle y_n \rangle$ in X such that $y_{2n} = Bx_{2n} = Sx_{2n+1}$ and $y_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n+2}$ for $n \geq 0$.

By taking $x = x_{2n}, y = x_{2n+1}$ in 2.1.1

$$[M(Ax_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n}, kt)]^2 * M(Ax_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n}, kt)M(Tx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}, kt) \geq \{k_1 [M(Bx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}, 2kt) * M(Ax_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n}, 2kt)] + k_2 [M(Ax_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+1}, kt) * M(Bx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}, kt)]\}M(Tx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}, t)$$

$$[M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, kt)]^2 * M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, kt)M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, kt) \geq \{k_1 [M(y_{2n}, y_{2n}, 2kt) * M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n-1}, 2kt)] + k_2 [M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, kt) * M(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, kt)]\}M(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t)$$

$$[M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, kt)]\{M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, kt) * M(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, kt)\} \geq \{k_1 [M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n-1}, 2kt)] + k_2 [M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, kt) * M(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, kt)]\}M(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t)$$

$$[M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, kt)]\{M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n-1}, 2kt)\} \geq \{k_1 [M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n-1}, 2kt)] + k_2 [M(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n+1}, 2kt)]\}M(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, t)$$

$$[M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, kt)][M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n-1}, 2kt)] \geq \{k_1 + k_2\} [M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n-1}, 2kt)]M(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, t)$$

$$[M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, kt)] \geq \{k_1 + k_2\} M(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t)$$

$$[M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, kt)] \geq M(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t)$$

$$[M(y_n, y_{n+1}, kt)] \geq M(y_{n-1}, y_n, t)$$

$$M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) \geq M(y_{n-1}, y_n, \frac{t}{k}) \geq M(y_{n-1}, y_n, \frac{t}{k^2}) \geq M(y_{n-1}, y_n, \frac{t}{k^3}) \dots \geq M(y_{n-1}, y_n, \frac{t}{k^n})$$

This

implies $M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

For each $\epsilon > 0$ and $t > 0$ we can choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) > 1 - \epsilon$

For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ suppose $m \geq n$

$$M(y_n, y_m, t) \geq [M(y_n, y_{n+1}, \frac{t}{m-n}) * M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, \frac{t}{m-n}) * \dots * M(y_{m-1}, y_m, \frac{t}{m-n})] \geq (1 - \epsilon) * (1 - \epsilon) * \dots * (1 - \epsilon) \geq (1 - \epsilon)$$

This shows that the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X and, it converges to a limit, say $z \in X$.

Consequently, the sub sequences $\{Bx_{2n}\}, \{Sx_{2n+1}\}, \{Ax_{2n+1}\}, \{Tx_{2n+2}\}$ of sequence $\{y_n\}$ also converges to z .

Proof of main Theorem:

Now suppose that T is continuous.

Since the pair (T, B) is compatible of type (R) by proposition 1.13, TTx_{2n}, BTx_{2n} converges to Tz as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We claim $Tz=z$. Putting $y=Tx_{2n}$, $x=x_{2n+1}$ in inequality 2.1.2

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [M(Ax_{2n+1}, BTx_{2n}, kt)]^2 * M(Ax_{2n+1}, BTx_{2n}, kt)M(TTx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}, kt) \geq \{k_1 [M(BTx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}, 2kt) * M(Ax_{2n+1}, TTx_{2n}, 2kt)] \\
 & \quad + k_2 [M(Ax_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+1}, kt) * M(BTx_{2n}, TTx_{2n}, kt)]\}M(TTx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}, t) \\
 & [M(z, Tz, kt)]^2 * M(z, Tz, kt)M(Tz, z, kt) \geq \{k_1 [M(Tz, z, 2kt) * M(z, Tz, 2kt)] \\
 & \quad + k_2 [M(z, z, kt) * M(Tz, Tz, kt)]\}M(Tz, z, t) \\
 & [M(z, Tz, kt)]^2 * [M(z, Tz, kt)]^2 \geq \{k_1 [M(Tz, z, 2kt)] + k_2 [1]\}M(Tz, z, t) \\
 & [M(z, Tz, kt)] \geq \{k_1 [M(Tz, z, 2kt)] + k_2 [1]\} \\
 & [M(z, Tz, kt)] \geq \frac{k_2}{1-k_1} \\
 & [M(z, Tz, kt)] \geq 1 \\
 & \text{therefore } Tz=z.
 \end{aligned}$$

next we claim that $Bz=z$.

Putting $x=x_{2n+1}$, $y=z$ in inequality 2.1.2

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [M(Ax_{2n+1}, Bz, kt)]^2 * M(Ax_{2n+1}, Bz, kt)M(Tz, Sx_{2n+1}, kt) \geq \{k_1 [M(Bz, Sx_{2n+1}, 2kt) * M(Ax_{2n+1}, Tz, 2kt)] \\
 & \quad + k_2 [M(Ax_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+1}, kt) * M(Bz, Tz, kt)]\}M(Tz, Sx_{2n+1}, t) \\
 & [M(z, Bz, kt)]^2 * M(z, Bz, kt)M(z, z, kt) \geq \{k_1 [M(Bz, z, 2kt) * M(z, z, 2kt)] \\
 & \quad + k_2 [M(z, z, kt) * M(Bz, z, kt)]\}M(z, z, t) \\
 & [M(z, Bz, kt)]^2 * [M(z, Bz, kt)] \geq \{k_1 [M(Bz, z, 2kt)] + k_2 [M(Bz, z, kt)]\}M(z, z, t) \\
 & [M(z, Bz, kt)]^2 \geq k_1 + k_2 [M(Tz, z, 2kt)] \\
 & [M(z, Bz, kt)] \geq k_1 + k_2 \\
 & [M(z, Bz, kt)] \geq 1
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $Bz=z$

Since from the condition $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$, there exists a point $u \in X$ such that $z=Su=Bz$.

Put $x=u$, $y=z$ in inequality 2.1.2

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [M(Au, Bz, kt)]^2 * M(Au, Bz, kt)M(Tz, Su, kt) \geq \{k_1 [M(Bz, Su, 2kt) * M(Au, Tz, 2kt)] \\
 & \quad + k_2 [M(Au, Su, kt) * M(Bz, Tz, kt)]\}M(Tz, Su, t) \\
 & [M(Au, z, kt)]^2 * M(Au, z, kt)M(z, z, kt) \geq \{k_1 [M(z, z, 2kt) * M(Au, z, 2kt)] \\
 & \quad + k_2 [M(Au, z, kt) * M(z, z, kt)]\}M(z, z, t) \\
 & [M(Au, z, kt)]^2 \geq \{k_1 [M(Au, z, 2kt)] + k_2 [M(Au, z, kt)]\}M(z, z, t) \\
 & [M(Au, z, kt)] \geq k_1 + k_2 \\
 & [M(Au, z, kt)] \geq 1
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $Au=z$

Since (S,A) is compatible type (R) and $Su=Au=z$, by Proposition $SAu=ASu$ and hence $Sz=SAu=ASu=Az$

To prove $Az = z$ Put $x = z$, $y = z$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [M(Az, Bz, kt)]^2 * M(Az, Bz, kt)M(Tz, Sz, kt) \geq \{k_1 [M(Bz, Sz, 2kt) * M(Az, Tz, 2kt)] \\
 & \quad + k_2 [M(Az, Sz, kt) * M(Bz, Tz, kt)]\}M(Tz, Sz, t) \\
 & [M(Az, z, kt)]^2 * M(Az, z, kt)M(z, Az, kt) \geq \{k_1 [M(z, Az, 2kt) * M(Az, z, 2kt)] \\
 & \quad + k_2 [M(Az, Az, kt) * M(z, z, kt)]\}M(z, Az, t) \\
 & [M(Az, z, kt)]^2 \geq \{k_1 [M(Az, z, 2kt)] + k_2 [1]\}M(z, Az, t)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$[M(Az, z, kt)] \geq \frac{k_2}{1-k_1}$$

$$[M(Az, z, kt)] \geq 1$$

Az=z implies Sz=z

Hence z=Az=Bz=Sz=Tz gives z is common fixed point of A,B,S and T.

Similarly we can prove when S is continuous.

Suppose B is continuous. Since the pair (T,B) is compatible of type R.

By proposition 1.13, BBx_{2n},TBx_{2n} converges to Bz as n→∞.

We claim that z=Bz

Putting x=x_{2n+1} , y=Bx_{2n} in inequality 2.1.2

$$[M(Ax_{2n+1}, BBx_{2n}, kt)]^2 * M(Ax_{2n+1}, BBx_{2n}, kt)M(TBx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}, kt) \geq \{k_1 [M(BBx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}, 2kt) * M(Ax_{2n+1}, TBx_{2n}, 2kt)] + k_2 [M(Ax_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+1}, kt) * M(BBx_{2n}, TBx_{2n}, kt)]\}M(TBx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}, t)$$

$$[M(z, Bz, kt)]^2 * M(z, Bz, kt)M(Bz, z, kt) \geq \{k_1 [M(Bz, z, 2kt) * M(z, Bz, 2kt)] + k_2 [M(z, z, kt) * M(Bz, Bz, kt)]\}M(Bz, z, t)$$

$$[M(z, Bz, kt)]^2 * [M(z, Bz, kt)]^2 \geq \{k_1 [M(Bz, z, 2kt)] + k_2 [1]\}M(Bz, z, t)$$

$$[M(z, Bz, kt)] \geq \{k_1 [M(Bz, z, 2kt)] + k_2 [1]\}$$

$$[M(z, Bz, kt)] \geq \frac{k_2}{1-k_1}$$

$$[M(z, Bz, kt)] \geq 1$$

This implies Bz=z

From the condition B(X) ⊆ S(X) implies there exists v ∈ X such that z=Bz= Sv.

We claim that z=Av

Putting x=v , y=Bx_{2n} in inequality 2.1.2

$$[M(Av, BBx_{2n}, kt)]^2 * M(Av, BBx_{2n}, kt)M(TBx_{2n}, Sv, kt) \geq \{k_1 [M(BBx_{2n}, Sv, 2kt) * M(Av, TBx_{2n}, 2kt)] + k_2 [M(Av, Sv, kt) * M(BBx_{2n}, TBx_{2n}, kt)]\}M(TBx_{2n}, Sv, t)$$

$$[M(Av, Bz, kt)]^2 * M(Av, Bz, kt)M(Bz, Bz, kt) \geq \{k_1 [M(Bz, Bz, 2kt) * M(Av, Bz, 2kt)] + k_2 [M(Av, Bz, kt) * M(Bz, Bz, kt)]\}M(Bz, Bz, t)$$

$$[M(Av, z, kt)]^2 * M(Av, z, kt) \geq \{k_1 [M(Av, z, 2kt)] + k_2 [M(Av, z, kt)]\}M(z, z, t)$$

$$[M(Av, z, kt)] \geq \{k_1 + k_2\}$$

$$[M(Av, z, kt)] \geq 1$$

Implies Av=z

Since the pair (S,A) is compatible of type (R) and Sv=Av=z. By proposition 1.13, SAV=ASv and hence Sz=SAv=ASv=Az.

We claim that Az=z

Putting x=z , y=x_{2n} in inequality 2.1.2

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [M(Az, Bx_{2n}, kt)]^2 * M(Az, Bx_{2n}, kt)M(Tx_{2n}, Sz, kt) \geq \{k_1 [M(Bx_{2n}, Sz, 2kt) * M(Az, Tx_{2n}, 2kt)] \\
 & \quad + k_2 [M(Az, Sz, kt) * M(Bx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}, kt)]\}M(Tx_{2n}, Sz, t) \\
 & [M(Az, z, kt)]^2 * M(Az, z, kt)M(z, Az, kt) \geq \{k_1 [M(z, Az, 2kt) * M(Az, z, 2kt)] \\
 & \quad + k_2 [M(Az, Sz, kt) * M(z, z, kt)]\}M(z, Az, t) \\
 & [M(Az, z, kt)]^2 \geq \{k_1 [M(z, Az, 2kt)] + k_2 [1]\}M(z, Az, t) \\
 & [M(Az, z, kt)] \geq \{k_1 [M(z, Az, kt)] + k_2 [1]\} \\
 & [M(Az, z, kt)] \geq \frac{k_2}{1-k_1} \\
 & [M(Az, z, kt)] \geq 1
 \end{aligned}$$

Implies Az=z

Since the condition A(X) ⊆ T(X) implies there exists w ∈ X such that z=Az=Tw

We claim that z=Bw

Putting x=z,y=w in inequality 2.1.2

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [M(Az, Bw, kt)]^2 * M(Az, Bw, kt)M(Tw, Sz, kt) \geq \{k_1 [M(Bw, Sz, 2kt) * M(Az, Tw, 2kt)] \\
 & \quad + k_2 [M(Az, Sz, kt) * M(Bw, Tw, kt)]\}M(Tw, Sz, t) \\
 & [M(z, Bw, kt)]^2 * M(z, Bw, kt)M(Bw, z, kt) \geq \{k_1 [M(Bw, z, 2kt) * M(z, Bw, 2kt)] \\
 & \quad + k_2 [M(z, z, kt) * M(Bw, Bw, kt)]\}M(Bw, z, t) \\
 & [M(z, Bw, kt)]^2 \geq \{k_1 [M(Bw, z, 2kt)] + k_2 [1]\}M(Bw, z, t) \\
 & [M(z, Bw, kt)] \geq \frac{k_2}{1-k_1} \\
 & [M(z, Bw, kt)] \geq 1
 \end{aligned}$$

Implies Bw=z

Since the pair (B,T) is compatible type (R) and Bw=Tw=z

By proposition 1.13, TBw=BTw and hence Tz=TBw=BTw=Bz

Therefore z=Az=Bz=Sz=Tz which gives z is common fixed point of A,B,S and T.

Similarly we can complete the proof when A is continuous.

Uniqueness completes the proof.

2.2 Example: Let X=[0,1], $M(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x, y)}$ where $d(x,y)=|x-y|$

$$Ax = Bx = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6} & \text{if } 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{8} \\ \frac{1}{8} & \text{if } \frac{1}{8} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad Sx = Tx = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} - x & \text{if } 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{8} \\ \frac{1}{8} & \text{if } \frac{1}{8} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Then A(X) = B(X) = $\left\{ \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{6} \right\}$ while S(X) = T(X) = $\left\{ \frac{1}{8} \cup \left[\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{4} \right] \right\}$ so that the conditions A(X) ⊆ T(X) and

B(X) ⊆ S(X) are satisfied. For this, take a sequence $x_n = \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{n} \right)$ for $n \geq 1$.

From the example given above, satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 2.1

Clearly 1/8 is the unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

REFERENCES:

- [1] Zadeh L A, Fuzzy Sets, Information and Control, 8(1965), 338-353.
- [2] Kramosil and J. Machalek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetika 11, (1975), 336-344
- [3] George A, Veeramani P, On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 64 (1994), 395-399.
- [4] Grabiec M, Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 27, (1988), 385-389.
- [5] Sharma S, Common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 127(2002), 345-352.
- [6] Cho Y J, Pathak H K, Kang S M & Jung J S, Common fixed point of compatible maps of type β on fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy sets and Systems*, 93 (1998), 99-111.
- [7] Jungck G, Compatible mappings and common fixed points (2) Internet. *J.Math & Math. Sci.* (1988), 285-288.
- [8] Sisodia K S, Rathore M S, & Deepak Singh, A common fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces, Vol.5, 2011, No.17, 819-826.
- [9] Kutukcu S, Sharma S & Tokgoz H A, A fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces, *Int. J.Math. Analysis*, 1(18) (2007), 861-872.
- [10] Mishra S N, Sharma N & Singh S L, Common fixed points of mappings on fuzzy metric spaces, *Internet .J.Math & Math .Sci.*, 17(1994), 253-258.
- [11] Pant R P & Jha K, A Remark on common fixed points of four mappings in a fuzzy metric space, *J.Fuzzy Math.* 12 (2) (2004), 433-437.
- [12] Srinivas V, B.V.B Reddy & Umamaheshwar Rao R, A focus on common fixed point theorem using weakly compatible mappings *KUSET*, Vol.2, No 3, 2012, 60-65.
- [13] Koireng M and Yumnam Rohen, Common fixed point theorems of compatible mappings of type(P) of fuzzy metric spaces, *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*, vol.6, No.4, 2012, 181-188.
- [14] Y Rohan, M.R.Singh And Shambhu, Common Fixed Point Of Compatible Mapping Of Type (R) In Banach spaces, *Proc.Of ath.Soci.Bhu*, 20, 2004, 77-87.
- [15] Shin Min Kang, Praveen Kumar and Sanjay Kumar, & Bu Young Lee, Common Fixed Points for Compatible Mappings of Types in Multiplicative Metric Spaces, *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, Vol.9 no.36,(2015), 1755-1767.
- [16] Dinesh Singh Yadav, Shailendra Singh Thakur and Samajh Singh Thakur, Common fixed point theorem for compatible mappings of type (R) in fuzzy metric spaces, *Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and its Applications*, No2.2015.111-114.