

ENERGY EFFICIENT CLUSTERING TECHNIQUE IN WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORKS

¹Rishav Kumar, ²Biswa Mohan Sahoo
¹Student, ²Senior lecturer
¹Computer Science and Engineering
¹Amity University, Uttar Pradesh, India

Abstract : In wireless sensor networks (WSNs), it's far essential to reduce power dissipation and extend community lifetime. The facts amassed by each sensor is communicated via the network to a unmarried processing middle that uses all reported information to determine characteristics of the surroundings or locate an event. The communicate or message passing method must be designed to preserve the limited electricity sources of the sensors. Clustering sensors into groups, in order that sensors speak facts handiest to cluster heads after which the cluster heads speak the aggregated information to the processing middle, may also save energy. The goal of this paper is to provide a kingdom of survey on clustering parameters and additionally clustering algorithms reported inside the literature of Wireless Sensor Networks. Our paper also provides energy efficient clustering algorithms in Wireless Sensor Networks.

Keywords: Clustering in Wireless sensor network (WSN), Cluster, Energy Efficient.

I. INTRODUCTION

A wireless sensor network inclusive of a huge range of small sensors with low-electricity transceivers may be an effective tool for gathering information in a variety of environments. In phrases of routing protocol, there are unique solutions from existing works. One is flat routing, each sensor node performs the identical function and sends their statistics to sink node immediately which constantly effects in immoderate data redundancy and faster electricity consumption. the alternative is hierarchical routing. In hierarchical routing, the complete community is divided into several clusters. every cluster includes a few supply nodes and a cluster head [1]. Sensor nodes, referred as supply nodes, can acquire information from the tracking location and send the sensing information to their corresponding cluster head [2]. The cluster head is elected from all of the sensor nodes in a cluster consistent with some criteria, and is answerable for amassing sensing statistics from supply nodes. After receiving data from supply nodes, the cluster head additionally performs information aggregation to lessen the facts size before sending statistics to the sink, which in addition reduces the electricity expended for statistics transfer [3]. Clustering-based totally routing algorithms are more suitable and efficient than flat routing algorithms in Wireless Sensor Network.

II. ISSUES IN CLUSTERING TECHNIQUE

We have got mainly three issues in Wireless Sensor Network clustering.

- **Distance:** Distance among nodes plays a critical position. As distance among the nodes will increase the variety of nodes in a cluster decreases and it may lead to higher intake of power.
- **Energy:** Energy efficiency has been called the most essential problem in research of WSNs. The energy intake within a cluster can be decreased by means of lowering the variety of transmitting messages. Lesser the power intake ends in the longer lifetime of community.
- **Density:** The boom in sensors density may additionally overload the network. Such overload would possibly purpose latency in verbal exchange and inadequate tracking of occasions.

III. OVERVIEW ABOUT ENERGY EFFICIENT CLUSTERING TECHNIQUE

Energy efficient clustering protocols must be designed for the function of heterogeneous WSNs. Energy efficiency is most essential idea in Wireless Sensor Network. It means to store the power intake. So, for this we examine diverse clustering scheme such as LEACH [7], HEED, etc. LEACH is the first clustering set of rules, which employs single-hop verbal exchange. It has phases consisting of set-up segment and steady-state section. It dynamically selects cluster heads concentrated on to distribute energy load most of the sensor nodes lightly in order that strength consumption of the Wireless Sensor Network is reduced sink node. HEED [8], a distributed clustering scheme, adopts multi-hop communicate to reduce power consumption.

3.1 Clustering Parameters

In Wireless Sensor Networks clustering algorithms, it's far really worth reporting on a few crucial parameters with reference to the complete clustering procedure in Wireless Sensor Network.

3.2 No. of Clusters (Cluster Count)

In most recent probabilistic and randomized clustering algorithms the Cluster Head (CH) election and formation manner lead clearly to variable range of clusters. In some published techniques, but, the set of Cluster Heads (CHs) are predetermined and accordingly the variety of clusters is preset. The range of clusters is often a important parameter with regard to the efficiency of the entire routing protocol.

3.3 Intra-cluster communication

In some initial clustering procedures the communication between a sensor and its unique Cluster Head is thought to be direct (one-hop communique). But, multi-hop intracommunicate is often (in recent times) required, i.e., when the verbal exchange variety of the sensor nodes is constrained or the number of sensor nodes may be very huge and the quantity of Cluster Heads is bounded.

3.4 Nodes and Cluster Head (CH) mobility

If we expect desk bound sensor nodes and stationary Cluster Heads, we're commonly led to stable clusters with facilitated intra-cluster and inter-cluster community control. At the contrary, if the Cluster Heads or the nodes themselves are assumed to be mobile, the cluster club for each node need to dynamically trade, forcing clusters to adapt over the years and probable want to be continuously maintained.

3.5 Types of Nodes and Their Roles

In a few proposed network models (i.e., heterogeneous environments), the Cluster Heads are assumed to be prepared with significantly more computation and conversation assets than others. In most common network models (i.e., homogeneous environments), all nodes have the equal abilities and only a subset of the deployed sensors is distinctive as Cluster Heads.

3.6 Methods of Cluster Formation

In most current tactics, when Cluster Heads are just regular sensors nodes and time performance is a primary layout criterion, clustering is being achieved in a allotted manner without coordination. In few earlier methods a centralized (or hybrid) approach is followed; one or more coordinator nodes are used to partition the entire network off-line and control the cluster membership.

3.7 Selecting Cluster Head

The leader nodes of the clusters (Cluster Heads) in a few proposed algorithms (specifically for heterogeneous environments) can be preassigned. In maximum instances but (i.e., in homogeneous environments), the Cluster Heads are picked from the deployed set of nodes both in a probabilistic or completely random manner or based totally on other greater precise standards (residual strength, connectivity and so on).

3.8 Complexity of Algorithm

In most current algorithms, the short termination of the performed protocol is one of the primary design desires. accordingly, the time complexity or convergence charge of most cluster formation techniques proposed these days is consistent (or just depending on the range of Cluster Heads or the wide variety of hops). In some earlier protocols, but, the complexity time has been allowed to rely upon the full range of sensors in the community, focusing in different criteria first.

3.9 Multiple Levels

In several published procedures the concept of a multi-level cluster hierarchy is brought to reap even better energy distribution and total energy intake (as opposed to using most effective one cluster degree). The enhancements offered by multi-stage clustering are to be further studied, specifically when we have very massive networks and inter-Cluster Head communication efficiency is of excessive importance.

IV. Different Clustering Algorithm for Energy Efficient Clustering in Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs)

4.1 Clustering Algorithm based on Cell combination (CACC):

A clustering set of rules which based on cellular mixture for the networks [9]. Sensor nodes are disbursed densely and the energy of sensor nodes is constantly restricted. In this clustering set of rules, the monitoring vicinity is divided into hexagonal cells through considering the geographic vicinity information of nodes. Each cluster includes as a minimum seven hexagon cells. Nodes with the identical cluster identity form a cluster and the cluster head in each cluster is elected from the vital cell of each cluster. The form of the cells recall nearly round with a view to enhance channel reuse and energy efficiency.

4.3 Virtual Area Partition Energy Efficient Clustering (VAP-E):

VAP [10] is an energy efficient clustering set of rules which is based on virtual area partition in heterogeneous networks surroundings wherein the maximal transmission power of each node can be special. Authors discovered that VAP-E can balance the burden between clusters, beautify the energy performance of sensor nodes, lengthen the life of networks, and enhance the performance of communications. Authors also examine this algorithm with recognize to LEACH and LEACH-E and found that Virtual Area Partition Energy Efficient Algorithm can enhance the stableness duration and network life time with the equal simulation circumstance.

4.4 Overlapped Field of View (FoVs):

This clustering algorithm for WSNs is based totally on overlapped Field of View (FoV) regions [11]. The primary contribution of this set of rules is finding the intersection polygon and computing the overlapped areas to set up clusters and decide cluster club. For dense networks, overlapping Field of Views areas wasting energy of the system due to redundant sensing of the region. The purpose of the clustering method is prolonging network lifetime and power conservation.

4.5 PEGASIS Algorithm Improving Based on Double Cluster head (PDCH):

A set of rules primarily based on hierarchical chain topology and this set of rules [12] the usage of backside degree cluster head and first-rate stage cluster head to improve the weight stability. within the hierarchical shape, base station (BS) is the middle of a circle. The Base Station will predefine the quantity of levels and each node's distance to Base Station decides the level which it belongs to. every node gets the signal from the BS, then in keeping with the signal strength to hit upon the space to BS. p.c. outperform to PEGASIS algorithm and it's also useful for large networks.

4.6 Harmony Search Algorithms (HSA):

This is tune based totally metaheuristic optimization set of rules [13] which is similar with a track improvisation system where musician continue to polish the pitches with the intention to acquire better harmony. By means of which it optimizing the strength intake and minimizing intra-cluster distance of the community. On this the bottom station computes and allocates nodes into clusters in line with the information in their residual power and location. The operation has two stages: clustering setup and information transmission. This set of rules provides development in time period of electricity intake and community life time over LEACH protocol. With a small community diameter, electricity intake of the community is nearly same when using distinctive clustering protocols.

V. Comparison of Different Clustering Algorithms:

Algorithm Name	Scheme	Based
CACC	Miscellaneous Scheme	Cell Combination For Network
VAP-E	Hierarchical Scheme	Virtual Area Partition
FoVs	Hierarchical Scheme	Overlapped Field of View
PDCH	Grid Scheme	Double Cluster Head
HAS	Miscellaneous Scheme	Music based Metaheuristic Optimization Algorithm

VI. CONCLUSION:

The hierarchical cluster systems facilitate the green facts accumulating and aggregation unbiased to the growth of the Wireless Sensor Networks, and usually lessen the overall quantity of communications in addition to the energy spent. we've got observed that the a few power efficient algorithms will increase the community lifetime. even though every attempt has been made to provide entire and correct kingdom of the artwork survey on energy green clustering algorithms. In destiny we can paintings on Clustering Algorithm based on Cell Combination (CACC) to get the strength efficient effects.

REFERENCES:

- [1] E. Fasoloy, M. Rossiy, J. Widmer, M. Zorziy, "In-network Aggregation Techniques for Wireless Sensor Networks: A Survey", *IEEE Wireless Communications*, 2007.

- [2] J. Ibriq, I. Mahgoub, "Cluster-based routing in wireless sensor networks: issues and challenges," *Proceedings of the 2004 Symposium on Performance*, 2004.
- [3] H. Chen, M. Hiroshi M Tadanori, "Adaptive data aggregation scheme in clustered wireless sensor networks," *Computer Communication*, Vol. 3, pp-3579-3585, September 2008.
- [4] A. Abbasi and M. Younis, "A survey on clustering algorithms for wireless sensor networks", *Computer communications*, 30, pp. 2826-2841, 2007.
- [5] C.R. Lin and M. Gerla, "Adaptive clustering for mobile wireless networks", *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas Communications*, 15(7), pp. 1265–1275, 1997.
- [6] S. Banerjee and S. Khuller, "A clustering scheme for hierarchical control in multi-hop wireless networks", in *Proceedings of 20th Joint Conference of the IEEE Computer and Communications Societies (INFOCOM'01)*, Anchorage, AK, April 2001.
- [7] Heinzelman, W., Chandrakasan, A. and Balakrishnan, H., "An Application Specific Protocol Architecture for Wireless Micro Sensor Networks", *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communication*, Vol.1, No.4, pp 660-670, 2002.
- [8] Younis, O. and Fahmy, S., "Distributed clustering in Ad-hoc sensor networks: A Hybrid, Energy Efficient Approach", *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, Vol.3, No.4, pp 660-669, 2002.
- [9] L. Chang-RI, Z. Yun, Z. Xian-ha, and Z. Zibo, "A clustering algorithm based on cell combination for wireless sensor networks", In *Second International Workshop on Education Technology and Computer Science*, 74–77.
- [10] R. Wang, L. Guozhi, and C. Zheng "A clustering algorithm based on virtual area partition for heterogeneous wireless sensor networks", In *International Conference on Mechatronics and Automation*, 372–376.
- [11] M. Alaei, and J.M. Barcelo-ordinas "Node clustering based on overlapping FOVs for wireless multimedia sensor networks". In *Proceedings of the IEEE wireless communication and networking*, 1–6.
- [12] W. Linping, B. Wu, C. Zhen, and W. Zufeng "Improved algorithm of PEGASIS protocol introducing double cluster heads in wireless sensor network". *IEEE International Conference on Computer, Mechatronics, Control and Electronic Engineering*, 148–151.
- [13] D. C. Hoang, P. Yadav, R. Kumar, and S. Panda "Node clustering based on overlapping FOVs for wireless multimedia sensor networks", In *Proceedings of the IEEE on Communications Workshops (ICC)*, 1–5.

