

Multimodal Biometric System Using K-Nearest Neighbor Algorithm

¹Ms. Chitranjana, ²Mr. Leelkanth Dewangan, ³Mr. Lalit P. Bhaiya
¹M.tech. Scholar ²Assistant Prof. ³M.Tech, Co-ordinator
¹computer Science Engineering
¹Bharti College Of Engineering & Technology ,Durg ,Chhattisgarh

Abstract - Biometric is the science and machinery of measuring and analyzing biological data of human carcass. It extracts a feature set from the acquired data, and comparing with template set in the database. In this paper we have introduced a new concept for face & fingerprint recognition by means of K-Nearest Neighbor algorithm and feed forward back propagation method by using their approach it improves the accuracy gives better performance and more reliable in terms of security and integrity of the biometric data. This approach is developed by coming ridge based & Eigen face approach. The main of their research work is to reduce the false accept rate (FAR), false reject rate (FRR) and false enroll rate (FER). After executing their loom we have compared the result of unimodal biometric system & multimodal biometric system. From the effect we observed that unimodal system has many disadvantages in terms performance and accuracy. Whereas multimodal biometric system performs for better them unimodal biometric system.

Keywords - Biometric Identification, Biometric Testing, Biometric Adaptive principal component analysis, K-NN algorithm, Biometrics, Feature extraction, Multilayer perception.

I. INTRODUCTION

The term biometrics comes from the ancient Greek bios = "life" and metron = "measure." Biometrics refers to the entire class of technologies and techniques to uniquely identify humans. The advantage to a biometric is that it does not change or lose. several body parts, personal uniqueness and imaging methods have been used for biometric systems such as fingers, hands, feet, eyes, ears teeth, veins voices, signatures, typing styles and gaits. The recognition of a person by determining the specific behavior or physiological Characteristic is possible by Biometric system which is an automatic pattern recognition system. It has been developing rapidly for security and personal identity recognition with the advantages of reliability and stability. Protecting the resources from the intruder is a crucial problem for restricted resources. The security and accuracy is improved by using the multimodal biometric system.

The rationale to combine different modalities is to advance recognition rate. The aim of multi biometrics is to reduce one or more of the following: False accept rate (FAR), False reject rate (FRR), Failure to enroll rate (FTE) Susceptibility to objects or mimics biometric systems used in actual world appliances are unimodal. They rely on the evidence of a single source of information for authentication. Intra-class variation: User who is incorrectly acting with the feeler typically causes these variations. Inter-class similarities: In a Biometric System where there are large no of users, there may be inter-class be related in the feature space of multiple users. Non-Universality: The biometric System might not be able to acquire a meaningful biometric data from a subset of users. Different advanced techniques in multimodal biometric face and fingerprint recognition digital image processing, Artificial neural networks (ANN), adaptive principal component analysis multilayer perception, eigen face approach, ridge based matching, principal component analysis (PCA), feed forward back propagation Algorithm. Multimodal biometric face and fingerprint

recognition. An artificial neural networks (ANN), approach was used to take advantage of neural network's ability to learn, and membership degrees and functions of neural networks.

K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN) Algorithm

KNN is an *non parametric lazy learning* algorithm. That is a pretty concise statement. When you say a technique is non parametric, it means that it does not make any assumptions on the underlying data distribution. This is pretty useful, as in the real world, most of the practical data does not obey the typical theoretical assumptions made (eg gaussian mixtures, linearly separable etc). Non parametric algorithms like KNN come to the rescue here.

It is also a lazy algorithm. What this means is that it does not use the training data points to do any *generalization*. In other words, there is *no explicit training phase* or it is very minimal. This means the training phase is pretty fast. Lack of generalization means that KNN keeps all the training data. More exactly, all the training data is needed during the testing phase. (Well this is an exaggeration, but not far from truth). This is in contrast to other techniques like SVM where you can discard all non support vectors without any problem. Most of the lazy algorithms – especially KNN – makes decision based on the entire training data set (in the best case a subset of them).

The dichotomy is pretty obvious here – There is a non existent or minimal training phase but a costly testing phase. The cost is in terms of both time and memory. More time might be needed as in the worst case, all data points might take part in decision. More memory is needed as we need to store all training data.

KNN for Density Estimation

while classification remains the main application of KNN, we can use it to do density evaluation also. Since KNN is non parametric, it can do estimation for arbitrary distributions. The idea is very similar to use of **Parzen window**. Instead of using hypercube and kernel functions, here we do the estimation as follows – For estimating the density at a point x , place a hypercube centered at x and keep increasing its size till k neighbors are captured. Now approximate the density using the formula,

$$p(x) = \frac{k/n}{V}$$

Where n is the total number of V is the volume of the hypercube. Notice that the numerator is essentially a constant and the density is influenced by the volume. The intuition is this : Lets say density at x is very high. Now, we can find k points near x very quickly. These points are also very close to x (by definition of high density). This means the amount of hypercube is small and the resultant density is high. Lets declare the density around x is very low. Then the amount of the hypercube needed to encompass k nearest neighbors is large and consequently, the ratio is low.

The amount performs a job similar to the bandwidth parameter in kernel density estimation. In fact, KNN is one of common methods to approximate the bandwidth (eg adaptive mean shift).

II. . LITERATURE SURVEY

The research on multi modal biometrics started in late 90s. Face is most common biometric which is used alone or in combination with other biometrics. The earlier system based on feature extraction using principle component analysis and recognition using the feed forward back propagation. Problem in this approach we recognize the Face first and then the fingerprint in sequence it is based on unimodal biometric system. Unimodal biometric systems have variety of problems such as noisy data, intra-class variations, restricted degree of freedom, non-universality, spoof attack and unacceptable error rates. The system based on AND & OR Configuration this approach can not normalize the False accept rate (FAR) False reject rate (FRR) Failure to enroll rate (FTE).

In July - 2012 Dr. Shubhangi D C et al suggest that “Artificial Multi-Biometric Approaches to Face and Fingerprint Biometrics”. As a part the work, an ANN is implemented. Feature extraction using principle component analysis and recognition using the feed forward back propagation neural network. Their work deals with a task where recognize the Face first and then the fingerprint in sequence. the trained ANN groups the input pixels into the different clusters which provide the results. [1].

In 2010 Sasidhar et al to him they develop multimodal biometric systems – study to improve accuracy and performance. a framework was established with assessing the performance of multimodal biometric systems. Not allowing for a common middleware layer to handle the multimodal applications with a small amount of common information. [2]

In August 2012 Hiren D. Joshi suggests that A Multimodal Biometric Authentication System for Person Identification and Verification using fingerprint and face recognition. He multimodal biometric takes the individual scores of two traits (face and fingerprint) which are combined at classifier level and trait level The logic of the multi-biometric system may be implemented in an AND configuration or in an OR configuration . [3]

In 4 September, 2010 Muhammad Imran Razzak introduced an automatic method for the detection of exudates multimodal face and finger veins recognition systems in which multilevel score level fusion was performed. The imposter and genuine score are combined using Fuzzy fusion to increase the face recognition system. [5]

In August 2012 Trupti S. Indi suggests the Biometric Feature based Person Unique Identification System different image enhancement techniques such as Gaussian smoothing function, adjusting intensity values of each pixels etc. We have studied different binarization methods and selected one which gives us best results for input thumb image. We have studied some thinning algorithms like Hilditc, Rosenfeld and ZS algorithms. Based on results we have used ZS (Zhang-Suen) thinning algorithm. Problem of ANN based is difficult to understand structure of algorithm, too many attributes can result in over fitting, optimal network structure can only be determined by experimentation. [6].

Table 1 shows below the accuracy of existing methods compare with the K-NN algorithm.

TABLE 1. ACCURACY OF EXISTING METHODS

S.NO	REFERENCE	METHODS USED	TRAITS	GAR%	FAR%
1	UjwallaGawande[25]	HAAR WAVELET+SVM	Finger print & Iris	94	0
2	Muhammad Imran Razzak et al.,[06]	Fuzzy fusion	face and finger veins recognition	96	0.98
3	Hiren D. Joshi et al.,[03]	AND configuration or in an OR configuration	face and fingerprint	96.67	---
4	X.-Y. Jing et al[13]	Gabor and DCV-RBF	face and palm	91.41	---
5	S. C. Joshi et al.,[18]	Wavelet and Hamming distance classifier	Face and signature	98.88	3
6	Ch.Venkata RamiReddy	HAAR WAVELET+PNN	face and fingerprint	98	1

7	Mehdi Ghayoumi	FUSION METHODS	Review of multimodal biometrics system	99	0.97
8	Ms. Chitranjana	K-NN ALGO. (MLP & APCA)	face and fingerprint	99.5	0

III. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

We have concentrated our implementation on adaptive principal component analysis and Multilayer perception. A proposed scheme of multimodal biometric face and fingerprint recognition using neural network is parallel the multimodal biometric takes the individual scores of two traits (face and fingerprint) which generate range approximate value for training that is in discrete interval form than system will produce good accurate result with high efficiency. Current work deals with an efficient face and fingerprint recognition algorithm combining ridge based and Eigen face approach for parallel execution. Here I am proposing a method to overcome the drawback of earlier problem, which based on combination on neural network.

The main purpose of the proposed system is to reduce the error rate as low as possible and improve the performance of the system by achieving good acceptable rate during identification and authentication.

Proposed implementation steps :

1. Sensor level Fusion:

We combine the biometric traits taken from different sensors to form a composite biometric trait and process. Here an image of an object or a scene is captured by a digital camera or is scanned for use as the input to the system. An image is passed to the system for classification. Images vary in format, size and resolution. Face and finger Image Acquisition to collect the face images, a scanner has been used. After scanning, the image can be saved into various formats such as Bitmap, JPEG, GIF and TIFF. This FRS can process face images of any format

2 Feature level Fusion:

Signal coming from different biometric channels are first pre-processed, and feature vectors are extracted separately, using specific algorithm and we combine these vectors to form a composite feature vector. This is useful in classification. These are a series of steps which should be taken for making an image suitable for manipulation and interpretation by subsequent stages. The steps include removal of noise and variation of intensity recorded, sharpening, improving the contrast and stringing the texture of the image.

3.The Matching score level fusion:

Rather than combining the feature vector, we process them separately and individual matching score is found, then depending on the accuracy of each biometric matching score which will be used for classification.

4. Decision level fusion:

Each modality is first pre-classified independently. Multimodal biometric system can implement any of these fusion strategies or combination of them to improve the performance of the system; different levels of fusion are shown in below figure 2.2.




Figure 2.1 : Neural network classifier

Neural network Classifier – (In fig.2.1)

The classifier decides whether the image belongs to the face or the non-face class based on the information learned during training. Also know the Matching score level where testing a neural network.




Figure 2.2. Proposed System Architecture

IV Experimental Results

Multimodal Biometric face and Fingerprint Recognition Using Neural Network System based on Adaptive Principal Component Analysis and Multilayer perception. To improve accuracy and performance .Our implementation mainly incorporates normalize the False accept rate (FAR) False reject rate (FRR) Failure to enroll rate (FTE).Reliable method for security and integrity of the biometrics data.A system can achieve a higher recognition accuracy than uni-modal systems. A system can minimize the recognition response time. Parallel execution of both face and finger print image, so that CPU utilization is more, It produce maximum efficiency than earlier model.A key benefit of neural networks is that a model of the system can be built from the available data. ANN learns by adjusting the interconnections or synaptic weights between layers .multimodal biometric systems better perform than uni-modal biometric systems. Graphical user interface of Multimodal biometric face and fingerprint recognition using neural network system based on adaptive principal component analysis and multilayer perception that are contain following-Load face image, Load finger image, Button training face, Button training finger, Button recognition ,Text output, Button reset, Button graph, exit.

Here in this paper, LBP is considered for extraction of features in both biometrics. Fusion is used to fuse the extracted features from individual modalities for accurate and efficient recognition. Identification is more time consuming and also computationally more complexity when compared to verification. So, a more specialized classification based biometric system need to be chosen in order to achieve high performance and also reduce the execution time. The decision of a Multi biometric system is either a genuine individual type or an impostor. That shows in TABLE 2. Accuracy Of Proposed Method On Database

The genuine type of decision and the impostor type decisions are used to define the following error rates.

- 1) **False Acceptance Rate (FAR):** The FAR is a measurement of how many wrong users are falsely accepted into the system as “right” users.
- 2) **False Rejection Rate (FRR):** The FRR is defined as the probability of a genuine individual type is being rejected as an wrong individual type.
- 3) **Genuine Accept Rate (GAR):** is an overall Accuracy Rate of a biometric system

$$GAR=1-FRR$$

TABLE 2. ACCURACY OF PROPOSED METHOD ON DATABASE

Training Sample		Testing Sample		GAR%
Face samples	Fingerprint samples	Face samples	Fingerprint samples	
200	200	50	50	99.5
200	200	80	80	96
150	150	50	50	95.5
150	150	80	80	94

V. CONCLUSION

Our implementation mainly incorporates normalize the False accept rate (FAR) False reject rate (FRR) Failure to enroll rate (FTE).Reliable method for security and integrity of the biometrics data. A system can achieve higher recognition accuracy than uni-modal systems. A system can minimize the recognition response time. Multimodal biometric systems better perform than uni-modal

biometric systems As the high frequency coefficient is less sensitive to human visual systems, first few coefficients of each block is constructed. The proposed prediction models based on soft computing on the other hand are easy to implement. This has been an interesting and challenging project during which I have learned a lot about image processing, face detection, face recognition and authentication protocols. I have gained valuable experience in working with the Matlab programming which is used extensively in the computer industry. I have also gained further experience with the Neural network. We have developed a prototype biometric system which integrates faces and fingerprints in authenticating a personal identification. The proposed system overcomes the limitations of both face-recognition systems and fingerprint-verification systems. We further wish to enhance effectiveness of the system in unique identification by incorporating XOR Configuration multimodal biometric in addition to thumbprint. Together a matching score, based on ear & thumb images, will be generated to more accurate identification. The program can also be used by researchers to learn how to design high-speed face recognition systems.

REFERENCES

- [1] Dr Shubhangi D C, Manohar Bali: "Multi-Biometric Approaches to Face and Fingerprint Biometrics" International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology Vol. 1 Issue 5 ISSN: 2278-0181, July - 2012.
- [2] K.Sasidhar, Vijay L Kakulapati, Kolikipogu Ramakrishna: "multimodal biometric systems –study to improve accuracy and performance" International Journal of Computer Science & Engineering Survey (IJCSSES) Vol.1, No.2, November 2010.
- [3] D. Joshi:" multimodal biometric systems –study to improve accuracy and performance ", ijcses (2012).
- [4] DrShubhangi D C: "Multi-Biometric Approaches to Face and Fingerprint Identification ", IJERT (2012).
- [5] M Mini Singh, Ahuja, and SumitChhabra:" A Survey of Multimodal Biometrics" International journal of Computer Science and its Applications [ISSN 2250 - 3765].
- [6] Muhammad Imran Razzak, RubiyahYusof and Marzuki Khalid: "Multimodal face and finger veins biometric authentication of Scientific Research and Essays ", Vol. 5(17), pp. 2529-2534, 4 September, 2010.
- [7] Trupti S. Indi, Suhas D. Raut:" Biometric Feature based Person Unique Identification International Journal of Computer Applications (0975 – 8887) Volume 51– No.13, 2012.
- [8] Deepak Saraswat "Multimodal Biometric System using Fingerprint and Iris" International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology(IJRASET), Volume 2 Issue X, October 2014 ISSN: 2321-9653
- [9] O., M. Mirmehdi, B. Thomas .and R. Markhamb: "Automatic Recognition of Exudative Maculopathy using Fuzzy C-Means Clustering and Neural Networks", Bristol, Bristol, BS1 2LX, U.K.
- [10]Praveen Kumar Nayak, .DeveshNarayan"Multimodal Biometric Face and Fingerprint RecognitionUsing Adaptive principal Component Analysis and Multilayer Perception."IJSART Volume 02 Issue6 June2013 ISSN(Online) 2278-5841 ISSN (Print) 2320-5156
- [11] Sheena S and Sheena Mathew "A STUDY OF MULTIMODAL BIOMETRIC SYSTEM" International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology eISSN: 2319-1163 | pISSN: 2321-7308,Volume: 03 Special Issue: 15 | Dec-2014
- [12] TarunaPanchal "Multimodal Biometric System" International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science and Software Engineering , Volume 3, Issue 5, May 2013 ISSN: 2277 128X
- [13] Waleed El Nahal "Mobile Multimodal Biometric System for Security"International Journal of Computer Applications (0975 – 8887) Volume 89 – No 10, March 2014
- [14] Dhriti and ManvjeetKaur "K-Nearest Neighbor Classification Approach for Face and Fingerprint at Feature Level Fusion" International Journal of Computer Applications (0975 – 8887) Volume 60– No.14, December 2012

- [15] Benson-Emenike Mercy E.andNwachukwu E.O. “An Improved Intelligent Multi Biometric Authentication System”Communications on Applied Electronics (CAE) – ISSN : 2394-4714 Foundation of Computer Science FCS, New York, USA Volume 3– No.4, November 2015
- [16]HamdiBouchechand SebtiFoufou “A comparative study of best spectral bands selection systems for face recognition”978-1-4799-7100-8/14/\$31.00 ©2014 IEEE
- [17] Choraś, R. Multimodal Biometric Personal Authentication Integrating Iris and Retina Images. Image Processing and Communications Challenges, 2010.
- [18] R. Kala, H.Vazirani,A. Shukla, and R. Tiwari. “Fusion of Speech and Face by Enhanced Modular Neural Network,” ICISTM, CCIS 54, pp.363-372, 2010.
- [19] A. Meraoumia, S. Chitroub, and A. Bouridane, “Fusion of Finger-Knuckle-Print and Palmprint for an Efficient Multi-biometric System of Person Recognition,” IEEE ICC proceedings, 2011.
- [20] S.Hariprasath, T.N.Prabakar, “Multimodal Biometric Recognition Using Iris Feature Extraction and Palmprint Features”, ICAESM, 2012.
- [21] R.BTrabelsi, A.D. Masmoudi, and D.S.Masmoudi, “A New Multimodal Biometric System Based on Finger Vein and Hand Vein Recognition”, International Journal of Engineering and Technology, 2013.
- [22] D.Marcin, W. Andrzej, “Multimodal biometric system combining ECG and sound signals”, Pattern Recognition Letters 38, pp.107-112, 2014.
- [23]A. S.Deshpande ,S.M.Patil and R. Lathi, “A Multimodal Biometric Recognition System based on Fusion of Palmprint, Fingerprint and Face”, International Journal of Electronics and Computer ScienceEngineering, 2015.



Ms.Chitranshona received B.E. degree in computer science and engineering in2014 from C.S.V.T.U Durg,Chhattisgarh, indiaandpersuing the M.TECH. degree in computer science from Bharti College of Engineering and Technology Durg, Chhattisgarh, india.



Mr. Leelkanth Dewangan recived the B.E.degree in Computer Science and Engineering in 2011 from C.S.VT.U. BHILAI INDIA and M.TECH degree In computer Science and Engineering specialization of Software Engineering from RCET(R1) ,Csvtu Bhilai India. He is M.TECH. gold medalist In M.TECH(CSE) from CSVTU BHILAI INDIA. His research area specially data mining Neural network, Text mining and digital image processing and artificial intelligent system. His 8 journal and 5 conference published in reputed journal and research work.