Face Recognition Systems: A Survey of Techniques and Analysis

T.S Akheel[1], Dr.V.Usha Shree[2] ,Dr.S.ArunaMastani[3]

[1] Research Scholar, Dept. of Electronics and communication & Engg., JNTUA,Anantapur

[2] Professor, Dept. of Electronics and communication & Engg, JB Institute of Technology, Hyderabad

[3] Asst. Professor, Dept. of Electronics and communication & Engg, JNTUCE, Anantapur, A.P

Abstract- Face recognition has recently received a lot of attention as one of the best applications of image analysis and comprehension, especially during the previous considerable amount of time. This tendency can be explained by no fewer than two factors: the first is the wide range of corporate and legal authorization applications, and the second is the accessibility of realisable improvements after 30 years of research. Even though current machine recognition systems have reached a certain level of progress, their success is limited by the constraints imposed by several real-world applications. For instance, it is still quite difficult to accurately identify faces in photos taken outside with changing lighting and/or posture. In the end, present frameworks are still far from ideal. In this paper we have presented a survey and challenges on face recognition systems.

I.INTRODUCTION

A facial recognition framework is a PC application fit for recognizing or confirming a man from a computerized picture or a video frame from a video source. One of the approaches to do this is by selecting facial features from the picture and a facial database. It is ordinarily utilized as a part of security frameworks and can be contrasted with different biometrics, for example, unique finger impression recognition or eye iris recognition frameworks.

Some facial recognition systems distinguish facial feature vectors by extracting points of interest, or elements, from a picture of the subject's face. For instance, a calculation may dissect the relative position, size, and/or state of the eyes, nose, cheekbones, and jaw. These elements are then used to look for different pictures with coordinating features. Other systems normalize a collection of face pictures and after that pack the face information, just sparing the information in the picture that is helpful for face recognition. A test picture is then compared with the face data. One of the most punctual fruitful systems depends on layout coordinating techniques connected to an arrangement of remarkable facial components, giving a kind of packed face representation.

Recognition techniques can be isolated into two fundamental methodologies, geometric, which takes distinguishing features into account for recognizing, or photometric, which is a measurable methodology that distills a picture into qualities and contrasts the qualities and formats to dispense with differences. Mainstream acknowledgment calculations incorporate Principal Component Analysis utilizing eigenfaces, Linear Discriminate Analysis, Elastic Bunch Graph Matching utilizing the Fisherface calculation, the Hidden Markov demonstrate, the Multilinear Subspace Learning utilizing tensor representation, and the neuronal spurred element connection coordinating.

In Models, regression analysis is a measurable procedure for assessing the relation among variables. It incorporates numerous strategies for demonstrating and breaking down a few variables, when the attention is on the relationship between a dependent variable and one or more independent variables (or 'indicators'). All the more particularly, regression investigation offers one some assistance with understanding how the commonplace estimation of the dependent variable (or 'model variable') changes when any of the independent variables is differed, while the other independent variables are not altered. Most generally, regression investigation assesses the restrictive desire of the dependent variable given the independent variables – that is, the normal estimation of the dependent variable when the dependent variables are altered. Less normally, the emphasis is on a quantile, or other area parameter of the contingent appropriation of the dependent variable given the independent variables. In all cases, the estimation target is a component of the independent variables called the regression function. In regression analysis, it is likewise of enthusiasm to portray the variety of the dependent variable around the regression function which can be depicted by a likelihood appropriation.

Regression analysis is generally utilized for expectation and anticipating, where its utilization has significant cover with the field of machine learning. Regression analysis is likewise used to comprehend which among the autonomous variables are identified with the subordinate variable, and to investigate the types of these connections. In limited circumstances, relapse investigation can be utilized to derive causal connections between the free and ward variables. However this can prompt illusions or false connections, so alert is advisable; for instance, relationship does not suggest causation.

Numerous systems for doing regression analysis have been created. Recognizable systems, for example, direct regression and standard minimum squares regression are parametric, in that the regression function is characterized as far as a limited number of obscure parameters that are evaluated from the information. Nonparametric relapse alludes to strategies that permit the relapse function to lie in a predetermined arrangement of capacities, which may be unending dimensional.

The execution of regression analysis systems by relies on upon the type of the information producing procedure, and how it identifies with the relapse methodology being utilized. Since the genuine type of the information producing procedure is for the most part not known, regression analysis frequently depends to some degree on making presumptions about this procedure. These suppositions are here and there testable if an adequate amount of information is accessible. Relapse models for expectation are regularly helpful notwithstanding when the suspicions are decently disregarded, despite the fact that they may not perform ideally. Be that as it may, in numerous applications, particularly with little impacts or inquiries of causality taking into account observational information, relapse systems can give deceiving results.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY ON FACE RECOGNTION WITH RESPECT TO REGRESSION MEHTODS

In the examination [1],a genuinely basic yet productive linear regression-based classification (LRC) for the issue of face recognition. Tests samples from a particular person are known to fall on a straight subspace. An idea has been utilize this idea to create class-particular models of the enrolled clients essentially utilizing the resized database pictures, along these lines characterizing the essence of face identification as an issue of linear regression. Least squares estimation is utilized to evaluate the vectors of parameters for a given test against all class models. At last, the choice tenets for the class with the most exact estimation. The described classifier can be ordered as a Nearest Subspace (NS) approach.

In [2] the author has addressed the problem of connecting constriction and changing face expressions. The LRC methodology uncovers various intriguing results. Aside from the Modular LRC approach for face ID in the vicinity of camouflage, the LRC methodology yields high acknowledgment correctness’s without requiring any pre-processing ventures of face restriction and/or standardization, here it is contend in the vicinity of non ideal conditions, for example, impediment, illumination, and serious signals, an edited and adjusted face is not accessible. In this manner, a steady solid execution with natural standard databases makes the LRC calculation proper for genuine situations. For the instance of fluctuating gestures, the LRC methodology has been appeared to adapt well to the most extreme shouting expression where the cutting edge systems fall behind, demonstrating consistency for gentle and serious changes. For the issue of face acknowledgment in the vicinity of mask, the Modular LRC calculation utilizing a proficient evidential combination methodology yields the best reported results in the writing.

In the worldview of perspective [3] based face identification, the decision of components for a given contextual investigation has been an arguable point. Late research has, then again, demonstrated the competency of strange components, for example, resized pictures and arbitrary projections, showing a difference from the ordinary philosophy. The LRC approach indeed fits in with this rising conviction. It has been demonstrated that with a proper decision of classifier, the resized pictures can deliver great results contrasted with the conventional methodologies. The straightforward structural planning of the methodology makes it computationally productive, thusly recommending a solid nomination for sensible video-based face recognition applications. Other future bearings incorporate the strength issues identified with brightening, arbitrary pixel debasement, and stance varieties.

Subsequently, in [5], it is further incorporate the discriminant analysis idea into the linear regression classification, called linear discriminant relapse grouping (LDRC), to help the power of the LRC for example or face characterization. By applying discriminant examination, plan to separate examples of distinctive classes by picking the projection bearings on which the examples of diverse classes are a long way from one another while keeping the examples of the same classes be as near one another as could reasonably be expected. Along these lines, the LDRC method could assess an ideal projection in a manner that the proportion of the between-class recreation blunder over the inside of class remaking mistake accomplished by the LRC is augmented. Trial results on FERET and AR face databases demonstrate the viability of the LDRC technique.

In [3] a face identification algorithm which is uncaring to extensive variety in lighting bearing and outward appearance. Taking an example order approach, By considering every pixel in a picture as a direction in a high-dimensional space. This exploit the perception that the pictures of a specific face, under fluctuating light yet altered posture, lie in a 3D direct subspace of the high dimensional picture space—if the face is a Lambertian surface without shadowing. On the other hand, since countenances are not really Lambertian surfaces and do in fact produce self-shadowing, pictures will digress from this direct subspace. As opposed to expressly demonstrating this deviation, Here directly extend the picture into a subspace in a way which rebates those locales of the face with expansive deviation. The projection technique depends on Fisher's Linear Discriminant and delivers very much isolated classes in a low-dimensional subspace, even under serious variety in lighting and outward appearances. The Eigen face strategy, another system in light of directly anticipating the picture space to a low dimensional subspace, has comparative computational necessities. Yet, broad test results exhibit that the "Fisherface" system has blunder rates that are lower than those of the Eigen face procedure for tests on the Harvard and Yale Face Database

Various current face identification algorithms use face representations found by unsupervised measurable techniques. Commonly these strategies locate an arrangement of premise pictures and speak to confronts as a straight blend of those pictures. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) is a famous case of such routines. The premise pictures found by PCA depend just on pairwise connections between pixels in the picture database. In an errand, for example, face acknowledgment, in which essential data may be contained in the high-arrange connections among pixels, it appears to be sensible to expect that better premise pictures may be found by routines touchy to these high-arrange measurements. Independent component analysis (ICA) [6], a speculation of PCA, is one such strategy. In this, utilized an adaptation of ICA got from the standard of ideal data exchange through sigmoidal neurons. ICA was performed on face pictures in the FERET database under two distinct architectures, one which regarded the pictures as arbitrary variables and the pixels as results, and a second which regarded the pixels as irregular variables and the pictures as results. The primary construction modelling discovered spatially neighbourhood premise pictures for the countenances. The second structural engineering created a factorial face code. Both ICA representations were better than representations taking into account PCA for perceiving countenances crosswise over days and changes in expression. A classifier that joined the two ICA representations gave the best execution.

In [7] a novel approach to handle the SSS problem. Rather than using discriminative techniques to achieve high recognition rate the sub-space projection based regression techniques (which use expressive approaches and do not suffer from the SSS problem - they are always applicable) with an appropriately designed response matrixes used . These techniques are often used for classification purposes in the field of chemometric, but have not yet been considered for the purposes of face recognition. As shown in Section VI, where the experiments and their results are presented, regression techniques successfully cope with the SSS problem and simultaneously achieve recognition rates comparable to those achieved by established discriminative techniques.

In [8], by planning virtual perspective era as an expectation issue, a novel locally straight relapse (LLR) technique's used to effectively produce the virtual frontal perspective from a given non frontal face picture. Just talking, segmented the entire non frontal face picture into numerous patches and apply straight relapse to every patch to anticipate its relating frontal patch. The strategy is enlivened by the thought that the direct mapping between non frontal patches and frontal patches keeps up superior to anything that of the worldwide case on account of coarse arrangement. Contrasted and the methodology, LLR is more effective since just straightforward direct relapse is required. Also, it is much less demanding to execute, considering that LLR requires just the focuses of the two eyes for arrangement instead of precise face arrangement, as is compulsory for LOC technique.

Similarly, in [9], The variation of facial appearance due to the viewpoint (pose) degrades face recognition systems considerably, which is one of the bottlenecks in face recognition. One of the possible solutions is generating virtual frontal view from any given nonfrontal view to obtain a virtual gallery/probe face. Following this idea, [9] proposes a simple, but efficient, novel locally linear regression (LLR) method, which generates the virtual frontal view from a given nonfrontal face image. In LLR, first perform dense sampling in the nonfrontal face image to obtain many overlapped local patches. Then, the linear regression technique is applied to each small patch for the prediction of its virtual frontal patch. Through the combination of all these patches, the virtual frontal view is generated., LLR requires separate models for each pose, which means it requires a lot of memory to store the learnt mapping matrices. In practice, we must first consider carefully how many separate pose models should be built and how these models can be compressed in order to save storage required.

Though this method is easy to be implement because only two eyes are needed for face alignment, the pose of the input nonfrontal face image is assumed to be known. This implies that one has to use a front-end procedure to estimate the pose of the input image. Fortunately, there have been many methods for pose estimation. Further this future work is to integrate the pose estimation with the this method to construct a fully automatic pose-invariant face recognition system.

In experiments [10] to date, RBMs outperformed PCA for recognizing faces across changes in expression or addition/removal of glasses, but performed more poorly for recognizing faces across different days. It is an open question as to whether sparseness and local features are desirable objectives for face recognition in and of themselves. Here, these properties emerged from an objective of independence. Capturing more likelihood may be a good principle for generating unsupervised representations which can be later used for classification.

III .TECHNIQUES FOR FACE RECOGNITION

Eigenface

The Eigenface method is one of the generally used algorithm for face recognition. Karhunen-Loeve is based on the eigenfaces technique in which the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) is used. This method is successfully used to perform dimensionality reduction. Principal Component Analysis is used by face recognition and detection. Mathematically, Eigenfaces are the principal components divide the face into feature vectors. The feature vector information can be obtained from covariance matrix. These Eigenvectors are used to quantify the variation between multiple faces. The faces are characterized by the linear combination of highest Eigenvalues. Each face can be considered as a linear combination of the eigenfaces. The face can be approximated by using the eigenvectors having the largest eigenvalues. The best M eigenfaces define an M dimensional space, which is called as the ―face space‖. Principal Component Analysis is also used by L. Sirovich and M. Kirby and Aleix M. et.al [14] to efficiently represent pictures of faces. They defined that a face images could be approximately reconstructed using a small collection of weights for each face and a standard face picture. The weights describing each face are obtained by projecting the face image onto the eigen picture.

IV. Face Recognition using Linear Discriminant Analysis

Fisherfaces

Fisherfaces is one the most successfully widely used method for face recognition. It is based on appearance method. In 1930 R.A Fisher developed linear/fisher discriminant analysis for face recognition. All used LDA to find set of basis images which maximizes the ratio of between-class scatter to within-class scatter. The disadvantage of LDA is that within the class the scatter matrix is always single, since the number of pixels in images is larger than the number of images so it can increase detection of error rate if there is a variation in pose and lighting condition within same images. So, to overcome this problem many algorithms has been proposed. Because the fisher faces technique uses the advantage of within-class information so it minimizes the variation within class, so the problem with variations in the same images such as lighting variations can be overcome. The fisher face method for face recognition described by Belhumeur uses both principal component analysis and linear discriminant analysis which produce a subspace projection matrix, similar as used in the eigenface method. However, the fisherface method is able to take advantage of within-class information, minimising variation within each class, yet still maximising class separation. Like the eigenface construction process, the first step of the fisherface technique is take each (NxM) image array and reshape into a ((N*M) x1) vector. Fisherface is similar to Eigenface but with enhancement of better classification of different classes image. With FLD, one can classify the training set to deal with different people and different facial expression. This survey paper shows the analysis of facial expressions than Eigen face approaches. Besides, Fisherface removes the first three principal components which are responsible for light intensity changes; it is more invariant tolight intensity.

In view of scalability, [11] study the speed and scalability of its ALM algorithms. In particular, focus on the numerical implementation of a sparsity-based classification framework in robust face recognition, where sparse representation is sought to recover human identities from high-dimensional facial images that may be corrupted by illumination, facial disguise, and pose variation. Although the underlying numerical problem is a linear program, traditional algorithms are known to suffer poor scalability for large-scale applications, It has shown that the ALM algorithms compare favourably to other classical and accelerated sparse optimization methods, especially when applied to recognizing high-resolution face images. In particular, the dual ALM algorithm performs the best in the face recognition experiment, and scales well in terms of the number of subjects. Hence it is well suited for large-scale classification problems. The primal ALM algorithm is the fastest method in solving the face alignment problem. Finally, we note that the performance of different numerical algorithms also depends on the programming language and the computer platform.

In [16] a recently proposed technique, sparse representation based classification (SRC) has been widely used for face recognition (FR). This analysis devotes to analyse the working mechanism of SRC, and indicates that it is the CR but not the l1-norm sparsity that makes SRC powerful for face classification. This model reveals that it is the collaborative representation (CR) mechanism, but not the l1-norm sparsity constraint, that truly improves the face recognition (FR) accuracy. The extensive experimental results clearly demonstrated that CRC_RLS is up to 1600 times faster than SRC without sacrificing recognition rate. Apart from FR, experiments on other types of signals (e.g., the human mouth odor signal classification for medical diagnosis) also showed that CRC or SRC works well. Statistically speaking, the norm imposed on the coding coefficient and coding error depends on the distributions of them (e.g., Laplacian or Gaussian). Nonetheless, more investigations are to be made to further study the CRC scheme for various pattern classification problems, and this is one of main objectives in the future work on face recognition. Which was extended in different way by ran he et al. [19].

In [19] An effective sparse representation algorithm based on the maximum correntropy criterion is proposed for robust face recognition. The half-quadratic optimization technique is adopted to maximize the correntropy objective function, so that the difficult nonlinear optimization problem is reduced to learning a nonnegative representation through a weighted linear least squares problem with nonnegativity constraint at each iteration. Then a new active-set algorithm is developed to efficiently solve CESR. The sparse representation computed by CESR is robust to noise and can be computed more efficiently as compared to an l_1 norm-based sparse algorithm. A classifier based on the sparse representation is proposed for robust face recognition and experimental results shows the better accuracy in this method.

V. Linear Regression classifier

Imran Naseem et al [1] in this research classification the challenges of varying facial expressions and contiguous occlusion are addressed this clearly reflect the potency of this method [2] which has shown that the approximate selection of classifier leads to down sampled images produces good results compared to traditional techniques in face recognition techniques. The illumination, random pixel corruption, and pose variations are not yet addresses which makes this method less accurate for classification, further this drawback is rectified to some extent by S.M Hunag et al [2].

S. M. Huang and J. F. Yang [2] have presented linear regression classification methodology with the help of class-specific representation where it was distinguished by Between-Class Reconstruction Error (BCRE) and Within-Class Reconstruction Error (WCRE) to find a discriminant subspace by maximizing the value of BCRE and minimizing the value of WCRE simultaneously. The main disadvantage of the LDRC is maximization of the overall between-class reconstruction error is easily dominated by some large classes. Further this problem can address by Xiaocho Qu et al [24] and reduced the errort to the maximum extent.

VI. Linear Collaborative Discriminant Regression Analysis.

Xiaochao Qu et al [24] have presented linear collaborative discriminant regression classification for face recognition. They proposed LCDRC methodology that improves Huang's linear discriminant regression classification (LDRC) algorithm. This paper adopts a better between-class reconstruction error measurement which is obtained using the collaborative representation instead of class-specific representation. The main disadvantage of LCDRC is that it is used the single linear regression model which is consist of one predictor that leads to anomalous results in accuracy.

Further Xiaochao Qu et al [5] have presented an enhanced discriminant linear regression classification (EDLRC) algorithm to further improve the discriminant power of LDRC. They haven't used all those classes for calculating BCRE rather than they have only considered about the classes with small reconstruction error. Through maximizing the construction error of the true class's similar classes, their EDLRC increased the discriminatory power of LDRC. Their experiment showed that EDLRC performed better than LRC and LDRC for ORL and AR database.

Y lu et al [23] presented a linear regression based method by generating an extended set for a probe image. They produced the low dimension features for a probe also they generated virtual samples by adding randomness into down sampling. The second step was to classify the probe by using canonical correlation analysis.

Recently, Zhang et al. (2011) [21] presented a classifier based on Collaborative Representations (CR) with Regularized Least Squares (CRC-RLS) for image face recognition. CRC-RLS can replace Sparse Representation (SR) based Classification (SRC) as a simple and fast alternative. With SR resulting from an l1-Regularized Least Squares decomposition, CR starts from an l2-Regularized Least Squares formulation. Moreover, it has an algebraic solution. It has extend CRC-RLS to the case where the samples or features are weighted. Particularly, it has been consider weights based on the classification confidence for samples and the variance of feature channels. The Weighted Collaborative Representation Classifier (WCRC) improves the classification performance over that of the original formulation, while keeping the simplicity and the speed of the original CRC-RLS formulation. Moreover further investigate into query-adaptive WCRC formulations and kernelized extensions shows that performance improvements but come at the expense of increased computation time. Which need to addressed further leads to another scope of research.

In [22] a novel relaxed collaborative representation (RCR) model to effectively exploit the similarity and distinctiveness of features. In addition, the distinctiveness of different features is exploited by weighting its distance to other features in the coding domain. The RCR is simple, while our extensive experimental results on benchmark image In this paper, a relaxed collaborative representation model (RCR) for pattern classification, which effectively exploits the similarity and distinctiveness of different features for coding and classification. While allowing each feature vector to be flexibly coded over its associated dictionary, a novel regularization term was introduced to enforce the coding vectors having object categorization clearly demonstrated the competitiveness of RCR to many state-of-the-art methods.

In [23] Linear regression uses the least square algorithm to solve the solution of linear regression equation. Linear regression classification (LRC) shows good classification performance on face image data. However, when the axes of linear regression of class-specific samples have intersections, LRC could not well classify the samples that distribute around intersections. Moreover, the LRC could not perform well at the situation of severe lighting variations. This method presented a new classification method, kernel linear regression classification (KLRC), based on LRC and the kernel trick. KLRC is a nonlinear extension of LRC and can offset the drawback of LRC. KLRC implicitly maps the data into a high-dimensional kernel space by using the nonlinear mapping Determined by a kernel function. Through this mapping, KLRC is able to make the data more linearly separable and can perform well for face recognition with varying lighting. The methodology not only outperforms LRC but also takes the better performance than typical kernel methods such as kernel linear discriminant analysis and kernel principal component analysis.

VIII. CONCLUSION

Face recognition is a challenging problem in the field of image processing and computer vision. Because of lots of application in different fields the face recognition has received great attention. In this paper, different face recognition algorithms are mentioned with their advantages and disadvantages.it can also improve the efficiency of the discussed algorithms and improve the performance. Hence, we conclude that the regression methods employed in the face recognition will boost the accuracy to the maximum extent. This paper shows that the regression based face recognition models always perform better accuracy in comparison with non-regression based model.

REFERENCES|

[1] Imran Naseem, Roberto Togneri, Mohammed Bennamoun, ―Linear Regression for Face Recognition‖, IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence, vol. 32, no. 11, november 2010.

[2] Shih-Ming Huang and Jar-Ferr Yang, ―Linear Discriminant Regression Classification for Face Recognition‖, IEEE signal processing letters, vol. 20, no. 1, january 2013.

[3] Peter N. Belhumeur, Joao P. Hespanha, and David J. Kriegman, ―Eigenfaces vs. Fisherfaces: Recognition Using Class Specific Linear Projection‖, IEEE transactions on pattern

analysis and machine intelligence, vol. 19, no. 7, july 1997.

[4] Marian Stewart Bartlett, Javier R. Movellan and Terrence J. Sejnowski, ―Face Recognition by Independent Component Analysis‖, IEEE transactions on neural networks, vol. 13, no. 6, november 2002.

[5] Xiaochao Qu and Hyoung Joong Kim, ―Enhanced Discriminant Linear Regression Classification for Face Recognition‖, 2014 IEEE Ninth International Conference on Intelligent Sensors, Sensor Networks and Information Processing (ISSNIP) Symposium on Cognitive Computing in Information Processing Singapore, 21–24 April 2014.

[6] Wenfei Gu, ChengXiang, Y.V.Venkatesh, DongHuang, HaiLin, ―Facial expression recognition using radial encoding of local Gabor features and classifier synthesis ‖, Pattern Recognition 45 (2012) 80–91.

[7] Vitomir ˇ Struc, France Miheliˇc, Rok Gajˇsek and Nikola Paveˇsi´c, ―Regression Techniques versus Discriminative Methods for Face Recognition‖, 9th International PhD Workshop on Systems and Control: Young Generation Viewpoint, 1. - 3. October 2008.

[8] P.J. Phillips, H. Moon, S.A. Rizvi, and P.J. Rauss, ―The FERET Evaluation Methodology for Face Recognition Algorithms,‖ IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, vol. 22, no. 10, pp. 1090-1104, Oct. 2000.

[9] Xiujuan Chai, Shiguang Shan, and Wen Gao, ―Locally Linear Regression for Pose-Invariant Face Recognition‖, IEEE transactions on image processing, vol. 16, no. 7, july 2007.

[10] M.S. Bartlett, J.R. Movellan, and T.J. Sejnowski, ―Face Recognition by Independent Component Analysis,‖ IEEE Trans. Neural Networks, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 1450-1464, 2002.

[11] Allen Y. Yang, Zihan Zhou, Arvind Ganesh Balasubramanian, S. Shankar Sastry, Yi Ma, ―Fast l1-Minimization Algorithms for Robust Face Recognition‖, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON IMAGE PROCESSING, VOL. 22, NO. 8, AUGUST 2013.

[12] Gang Xu, Shengli Zhang, Yunyun Liang, ―Using Linear Regression Analysis for Face Recognition Based on PCA and LDA‖, IEEE transactions on image processing, 2010.

[13] W. Zhao, R. Chellappa, A. Rosenfeld, and P. J. Phillips, ―Face recognition: A literature survey,‖ACM Computer. Surv., vol. 35, no. 4,pp. 399–458, 2003.

[14] Aleix M. MartõÂnez, Member, IEEE, and Avinash C. KakIEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence ,Volume: 23, Issue: 2, Feb 2001

[15] J. Wright, A.Y. Yang, A. Ganesh, S.S. Sastry, Y. Ma, Robust face recognition via sparse representation, IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. 31 (2009) 210– 227.

[16] D. Zhang, M. Yang, X. Feng, Sparse representation or collaborative representation: which helps face recognition? in: IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV), IEEE, 2011, pp. 471–478.

[17] Robust regression for face recognition, Imran Nazreen 2010 international conference, Roberto Togneri.mohammed bennamoun,university of western Australia.

[18] M. Yang, D. Zhang, J. Yang, Robust sparse coding for face recognition, in: IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2011, IEEE, pp. 625–632.

[19] R. He, W.-S. Zheng, B.-G. Hu, Maximum correntropy criterion for robust face recognition, IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. 33 (2011) 1561–1576.

[20] X. Tang, G. Feng, J. Cai, Weighted group sparse representation for undersampled face recognition, Neurocomputing 145 (2014) 402–415.

[21] R. Timofte, L. Van Gool, Adaptive and weighted collaborative representations for image classification, Pattern Recog. Lett. 43 (2014) 127–135.

[22] M. Yang, D. Zhang, S. Wang, Relaxed collaborative representation for pattern classification, in: IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2012, IEEE, pp. 2224–2231.

[23] Y. Lu, X. Fang, B. Xie, Kernel linear regression for face recognition, Neural Comput. Appl. 24 (2014) 1843–1849.

[24] Xiaochao Qu, Suah Kim, Run Cui, Hyoung Joong Kim, ―Linear collaborative discriminant regression classification for face recognition‖, Journal of Visual Communication and Image Representation, Vol. 31, pp. 312–319, 2015.

[25] M. S. Bartlett, J. R. Movellan, and T. J. Sejnowski, ―Face recognition by independent component analysis,‖ Neural Networks, IEEE Transactions on, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 1450–1464, 2002.