ISSN: 2320-2882

IJCRT.ORG



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Effect of Plyometric and Aerobic Exercises on Selected Physical Fitness Components of Basketball Players

Dr. Ajaypal Upadhyay (Associate Professor) Degree College of Physical Education, Amravati

Saurabh Ojha Research Scholar Degree College of Physical Education, Amravati

ABSTRACT

The main purpose of this study was to compare "The Effect of Plyometric and Aerobic Exercises on Selected Physical Fitness Components of Basketball Players". For this purpose sixty male basketball players were selected from Degree College of Physical Education, Amravati by adopting simple random sampling method and age of the subjects was ranging from 18 to 25 years. The subjects were divided into three groups, each group consisted of 20 players, in which group-A and group B were experimental groups and group C was control group. Plyometric Exercises were assigned to Group A, Aerobic Exercises were assigned to group B and no specific exercises was given to control group C. The training period was consisted of six weeks. During the training, principle of overload training was adopted for the optimum development of the desired objective of the study. The training were performed for five days in week, two times a day, in morning 6:00 am to 8:00 am and in afternoon 4:00 pm to 6:00 pm and a complete rest was given on Saturday and Sunday. The subject of group C were not given any specific training but they used to take part in daily basketball practice and participated to their regular program as well.

It was hypothesized that Plyometric and Aerobic Exercises will affect the Physical Fitness components of Basketball players.

Strength, Muscular Endurance, Cardiovascular Endurance and Agility of Basketball players was measured by applying Vertical Jump, Sit-ups, 1.5 mile run and Shuttle Run respectively, and the scores were recorded. Data pertaining to the study were collected before the start of training programs and immediately after the completion of six weeks training programs. The collected data were arranged systematically in a table for further statistical treatments.

Independent and Dependent t-test was employed to find out effect of Plyometric and Aerobic Exercises between different groups as well as One Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was employed to compare the effect of selected Plyometric and Aerobic Exercises on selected Physical Fitness Components of Basketball Players. The level of significance was set at 0.05 for testing the hypothesis. The findings of the statistical analysis revealed that there was significant difference on the Physical

Fitness Components viz. Strength, Muscular Endurance, Cardiovascular Endurance and Agility in both experimental and control group.

Keywords: Plyometric, Aerobic, Strength, Muscular Endurance, Cardiovascular Endurance and Agility.

Findings

To determine the significant difference between the two groups's for and among the different selected Physical Fitness Components, the Independent t-test, Dependent t-test (between pre and post) and ANOVA statistical technique was employed independently for each variable. The level of significance to test the hypothesis was set at 0.05. The findings pertaining to the study have been shown in Table 1, 2, 3 and 4.

Table-1 Summary of Mean, Standard deviation and 't'- ratio for the Data on Post-Test of Physical Fitness Component between the Experimental And Control Group of Basketball Players

Gro	oup	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean Difference	Standard Error of Mean Difference	t-ratio
Experi	mental					
А	1	210.5392	16.564			
(Plyon	netric)			45.563 <mark>6</mark>	5.830	7.815*
	3	1 64 075	20.120			
Control		164.975	20.138			5
Experimental					13	
В		215.817	14.600	\sim		
(Aerobic)		7		50.842	5.562	9.141*
Control		164.975	20.138			

*Significant at 0.05 level

Tabulated t $_{0.05(18)} = 2.228$

It is evident from Table-1 that significance of difference are found in the variables of Physical Fitness Component viz. Strength, Muscular Endurance, Cardiovascular Endurance and Agility between Experimental Group-A (Plyometric Exercise) and Control Group and in between Experimental Group-B (Aerobic Exercise) and Control Group as the obtained t-valve of 7.815 and 9.141 respectively are quite higher than the tabulated t-value of 2.228 at 0.05 level of significance at 18 degree of freedom.

Table-2Comparison of Mean of Pre and Post Test of Experimental and
Control Group for the Data on Physical Fitness
Components of Basketball Players

Group	Test	Mean	Difference between Post and Pre test Scores	Standard Error	t-ratio
Experimental		199.9922			
(Plyometric)	Pre		210.9416	75.687	2.787*
(Tryometrie)		210.5392			
	Post				
Experimental		199.9844			
(Aerobic)	Pre		316.658	74.1586	4.270*
(11010010)		<mark>215.8</mark> 173			
	Post				
		200.0224			
Control	Pre		700.9348	120.144	6.323*
		16 <mark>4</mark> .9757			
	Post				
*Significant at 0.05 level $arr = 2.093$					

Statistical Analysis of Pre Tests and Post Tests of Experimental Group-A (Plyometric Exercise), Experimental Group-B (Aerobic Exercise) and Control Group has shown significant difference as the Calculated t-value of 2.787, 4.270 and 6.323 respectively are more than the tabulated t-value of 2.093 when compared independently at 0.05 level of significance at 19 degree of freedom.

Table – 3

Summary of One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for the Data on Selected Physical Fitness Components between Experimental and Control Group of Basketball Players

Physical Fitness Components	Source of Variance	Degree of Freedom	Sum of square	Mean of Sum of Square	F - ratio
Strength	Between group	2	1363.533	681.7665	
(Vertical Jump)	Within group	57	4536.558	79.5887	8.5661*
Endurance	Between group	2	3004.654	1502.327	
(Sit-ups)	Within group	57	2895.749	50.8026	29.5718*
Endurance	Between group	2	2341.784	1170.892	
(1.5 mile)	Within				18.726*
	group	57	3563.993	62.526	
Agility	Between group	2	1871.839	935.9193	CR
(Shuttle Run)	Within group	57	4039.371	70.8662	13.2068*
*Significant at 0.05 level Tabulated F $_{0.05(2.57)} = 3.158$					

Table – 4

Paired Mean Difference for the Data on Selected Physical Fitness Components between Experimental and Control Group of Basketball Players

Physical				Mean	Critical
Fitness	Mean of			Difference	Difference
Components					
	Experimental	Experimental			
	A	B			
	(Plyometric)	(Aerobic)	Control		
	55.449	50.711		4.738 [@]	5.6479
Strength	55.449		43.837	11.612*	5.6479
(Vertical Jump)		50.711	43.837	6.874*	5.6479
	53.616	58.871		5.255*	4.5124
Endurance	53.616		41.938	11.678*	4.5124
(Sit-ups)		58.871	41.938	16.933*	4.5124
	49.901	53.716		3.815 [@]	5.006
Endurance	49.901		38.974	10.927*	5.006
(1.5 mile)		53.716	38.974	14.742*	5.006
	51.573	52.518		0.945 [@]	5.392
Agility	51.573		40.225	11.348*	5.392
(Shuttle Run)		<mark>52</mark> .518	40.225	12.293*	5.392

*Significant at 0.05 level

[@] Not Significant at 0.05 level

To determine the significance of difference of selected Physical Fitness Components among three groups i.e. of Experimental Group-A (Plyometric Exercise), Experimental Group-B (Aerobic Exercise) and Control Group, One way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) statistical technique was employed independently for each variables, where F - ratio was found to be significant, *post-hoc* test was applied to find out the paired mean difference. To test the hypothesis confidence level was set at 0.05. The findings pertaining to the study have been shown in Table 3 and 4.

It is evident from Table-3, that the significance of difference were found in the variable of Strength (F = 8.5661), Muscular Endurance (F = 29.5718), Cardiovascular Endurance (F = 18.726) and Agility (F = 13.2068) among the Groups of Basketball Players, as the obtained F–value are quite higher than that of tabulated F–value of 3.158 needed to be significant at 0.05 level for 2, 57 degrees of freedom.

Findings of *post-hoc* test reveals that there is significance of difference in between Experimental Group-A and Control Group (MD=11.612 > CD=5.6479) and Experimental Group-B and Control Group (MD=6.874 > CD=5.6479) whereas insignificant difference was found in between Experimental Group-A and Experimental Group-B (MD=4.738<5.6479) in the component of Strength. The table also reveals that there is significance difference in between Experimental Group-A and Experimental Group-B (MD=5.25>4.5124), Experimental Group-A and Control Group (MD=11.678>4.5124) and Experimental Group-B and Control Group (MD=16.933>4.5124) in the component of Muscular Endurance.

© 2019 IJCRT | Volume 7, Issue 2 May 2019 | ISSN: 2320-2882

It is also observed from the table that there is significance difference in between Experimental Group-A and Control Group (MD=10.927 > CD=5.006) and Experimental Group-B and Control Group (MD=14.724 > CD=5.006) whereas insignificant difference was found in between Experimental Group-A and Experimental Group-B (MD=3.815<5.006) in the component of Cardiovascular Endurance.

The table also states that there is significance difference in between Experimental Group-A and Control Group (MD=11.348 > CD=5.392) and Experimental Group-B and Control Group (MD=12.293 > CD=5.392) whereas insignificant difference was found in between Experimental Group-A and Experimental Group-B (MD=0.945<5.392) in the component of Agility.

Discussion of Finding

The obtained Significant Difference in initial Experimental Group-A (Plyometric Exercises) and Experimental Group-B (Aerobic Exercises) with final post test of Experimental Group-A (Plyometric Exercises) and Experimental Group-B (Aerobic Exercises) may be attributed to fact that Plyometric and Aerobic Exercises are the best scientific means to develop desired Physical Fitness Components for Basketball Players.

The study also acknowledged that Plyometric Exercises leads to the development of Strength whereas Aerobic Exercises leads to the development of Muscular and Cardiovascular Endurance, because nature of activity shows higher relation with this type of development, which was tested by Vertical Jump, Sit-ups and 1.5 mile run.

Bobo M. and Frost Reuber B. researched independently for the Effect of Plyometric and Aerobic Exercises on development of fitness components and have found similar result as of the present study.

Conclusion

Recognizing the limitations of the study and on the basis of statistical findings it may be fairly concluded that

- i. Plyometric and Aerobic Exercises lead to the developments of Physical Fitness components of Basketball Players.
- ii. Significant difference was observed between Experimental Group A and Control Group and Experimental Group B and Control Group in the selected Physical Fitness Components.
- iii. Significant difference was observed between Pre Test and Post Test of Experimental Group A, Experimental Group B and Control Group in the selected Physical Fitness Components.
- iv. Significant mean difference was observed Experimental Group A, Experimental Group B and Control Group in the selected Physical Fitness Components of Strength, Muscular Endurance, Cardiovascular Endurance and Agility

www.ijcrt.org

References

- Bobo M., et. A, "The Effect of Long Term Aerobic Dance on Agility and Flexibility", <u>Sports Medicine and</u> <u>Physical Fitness</u>, (1999), Vol. 39(3).
- Butler, et.al., "Effect of Cardio Respiratory Training or Aerobic Fitness and Carryover to Activity in Children with Cerebral Palsy", <u>International Journal of Rehabilitation Research</u>, (2010), Vol. 33(2)
- Frost Reuber B., "Effect of Plyometric Expercises on Fitness of Childrens", <u>Addison Werly Publising</u> <u>Company</u>, (1971)

