IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)
An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

PERFORMANCE OF BANKING SECTOR DURING LIBERALIZATION PERIOD: A CRITICAL REVIEW OF COMMERCIAL BANKS IN INDIA

Dr. Dimpal Rani

Ph.D. Research Scholar, Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University,

Bhagalpur, Bihar

Abstract

India presented significant financial sector changes during the 1990s through entering the new private and unfamiliar banks, changing loan fee controls, improving the function of market influences, and decreasing state avoidance of bank credit through decrease hold and legal liquidity prerequisites, which together remained at around 50% of advantages in 1992.

This paper gives new exact proof on the effect of budgetary progression on the exhibition of Indian commercial banks. The examination centres on analyzing the conduct and determinants of bank intermediation expenses and gainfulness during the progression time frame. The investigation depends on the optional information and contemplates general society and private area banks in India.

The observational outcomes propose that expansion in rivalry during money related progression has been related with lower intermediation expenses and productivity of the Indian banks. To put it plainly, just financially savvy, customer centered, innovation driven, capital reinforce banks which follow prudential guidelines can just continue in pulling in investors and borrowers in the current serious climate. The individual banks must be serious from one perspective and the controller ought to guarantee that the prudential standards ought to guarantee required strength and monetary soundness of banks without imperilling the best possible motivators to banks.

Keywords: - Commercial Banks, Liquidity, Financial Sector, Customer, Credit.

1.0 Introduction

Financial sector in India is changed radically since late 1990s because of mechanical advancement, money related progression with passage of new private and unfamiliar banks, and administrative changes in the corporate area. The serious rivalry between these new passages with the previously existing public area banks to provide food needs of same pie of buyers encouraged usage of new routes in lessen costs simultaneously drawing in clients/business.

Further progression of money related area encouraged improvement of capital business sectors; non-banking budgetary establishments that assimilate current and possible borrowers and bank contributor subsequently banks may confront rivalry both in bringing assets and up in sending them. The improvements in banking area, for example, innovative advances in data innovation and securitisation charge, decrease in representative quality through Voluntary Retirement Schemes has enormously diminished expenses and Non-Performing Assets accordingly expanded effectiveness among Indian banks.

1.1 Need for Liberalization

The Government of India encased advancement polices in the year 1991-92, keeping in see the advantages of progression. The significant pushes of the progression of 1991 identified with measures to address equalization of instalments emergency through monetary combination and restricted assessment changes, evacuation of controls on modern venture and on imports decrease in import duties, formation of a less negative climate for drawing in unfamiliar capital.

Some primary driver that was behind the dull presentation of the banks provoked the inception of the financial area changes. A portion of these causes were:

- Great accentuation on coordinated credit developers.
- Skilled financing cost structure.
- Excessive guidelines on organization's structure and administrative assets.
- Deficiency of spotlight on gainfulness.
- Monopoly on banking.
- Negligence of reasonable Accounting and Risk Management System.
- Lack of operational straightforwardness.
- Great uphold from government.

The changes were acquainted with a point with achieve a change in perspective in the financial business. Consequently, banking changes were made a basic aspect of the advancement cycle. In 1991 beginning of monetary change gave the fundamental stage to the financial area to work based on working adaptability and useful self-rule; upgrading effectiveness, efficiency and productivity.

2.0 Literature Review

Bhattacharya et al., (1997) considered the effect of the restricted advancement started before the liberation of the nineties on the exhibition of the various classes of banks, utilizing Data Envelopment Analysis. The fundamental outcomes accord with the overall observation that in the nationalized time, public area banks were fruitful in accomplishing their central targets of store and advance development.

Madhumadii and Kumar (2003-04) have examined the commercial banks working in India as for their money related proportions. This paper sums up that all banks require to put resources into IT and non-IT foundation.

Sreekantradhya (2004) have watched the presentation of the new age private area banks during the period 1994-95 to 1999-2000. He utilized the accompanying pointers of productivity to survey the exhibition of new private area banks just as benefit based markers like net benefit as level of all out resources and profit/cost based pointers like premium pay as level of all out resources and so on

Reddy and Reddy (2005) have talked about the monetary exhibition of SBl during the period 1990-91 to 2003-04. The examination is embraced to center the key obligation zones of store preparation, credit organization, non-performing resources, gainfulness and profitability.

Asli et al., (2000) expressed that in emergency period pace of development of genuine stores falls fundamentally, actually banks lose different wellsprings of financing more quickly than stores, as seen by noteworthy increment in the proportion of stores to resources.

Gidadhubli (2001) expressed that because of the low least capital necessities countless little banks were set up. It was accounted for that a couple of banks even utilized cash obtained from government and the national bank of Russia for exchanges because of the wasteful guideline.

David and Vlad (2002) found that more tight least capital ampleness proportions appear to be related with improved income producing limit and more forceful store taking conduct. All around promoted banks face lower expected liquidation costs, accordingly diminishing their expense of financing.

3.0 Objective

- To identify the need for liberalization of the Indian Banking System.
- To study the impact of liberalization on the Indian Banking System.
- To evaluate the performance of Commercial banks in India during the liberalization period.

4.0 Methodology

This paper is fundamentally founded on secondary sources. The specialist made the examination dependent on optional information gathered from different sources like reports and distributions of International diary of exploration in account area, RBI reports, books, magazines and web.

5.0 Effect of Liberalization on Indian Banking Sector

The passage of Private area was limited after nationalization to forestall metropolitan focus, unreasonable rivalry and loaning to rich and notable firms. This came about in wipe out of rivalry among public area banks, public-private area banks. There was a decrease in viability and nature of client support. Since 1969 the loan costs has been set by the Reserve Bank of India. Its fixation of loan costs was consistently beneath the market rate. Because of these reasons consumption was developing, and public area banks was extremely tranquil the same old thing was occurred.

Since, progression the monetary grumbling steadily began improving and today India is one of the quickest developing economies on the planet with a normal yearly development pace of around 6-7 percent. Introductory of the financial progression, privatization, globalization and liberation have viably prompted formation of a worldwide town where in banking organizations, for their endurance, need to zero in on speed, cost and nature of administration to confront solid rivalry and gigantic difficulties. The ferocious rivalry among players has emerged through advancement and the Winners are the individuals who have out-performed others, while failure is the individuals who flopped in keeping up the energy needed to continue their area.

6.0 Performance of Indian Banking Sector

Table- 6.1 Group Averages of Public and Private Sector Banks

No.	Bank Group / Indicators	1990-'91	2003-'04
1	**Return on Assets**		
	Public Sector Banks	0.001	0.011
	Private Sector Banks	0.002	0.011
2	**Return on Equity**		
	Public Sector Banks	0.101	0.209
	Private Sector Banks	0.173	0.195
3	**Net Interest Margin**		
	Public Sector Banks	0.019	0.030
	Private Sector Banks	0.027	0.026
4	**Interest Expense Ratio***		
	Public Sector Banks	0.676	0.497
	Private Sector Banks	0.597	0.535
5	**Non- Interest Expense Ratio***		
	Public Sector Banks	0.302	0.231
	Private Sector Banks	0.369	0.213
6	**Non-Interest Margin**		
	Public Sector Banks	-0.018	-0.003
	Private Sector Banks	-0.024	0.000
7	**Profit Margin**		
	Public Sector Banks	0.020	0.110
	Private Sector Banks	0.033	0.115
8	**Equity Multiplier***		
	Public Sector Banks	58.31	20.04
	Private Sector Banks	75.91	18.49
9	**Asset Utilisation**		
	Public Sector Banks	0.085	0.098
	Private Sector Banks	0.087	0.101
10	**Efficiency Ratio***		
	Public Sector Banks	0.934	0.462
	Private Sector Banks	0.917	0.457
11	**Overhead Efficiency Ratio**		
	Public Sector Banks	0.311	0.860
	Private Sector Banks	0.287	0.996

Source: - Based on secondary data computed by the author

Table- 6.2 Net Non-Performing Assets of Commercial Banks

Bank Group	1996-'97	2003-'04
Public Sector Banks	9.69	3.69
Private Sector Banks	5.55	5.37

Source: - Based on secondary data computed by the author

Table 6.3 Capital Adequacy Ratio of Public and Private Sector Banks

Bank Group	1996-'97	2003-'04
Public Sector Banks	8.52	12.75
Private Sector Banks	9.86	14.05

Source: - Based on secondary data computed by the author

7.0 Key findings

- An examination of Return on Assets proportion shows that the private area banks gathering, enlisted higher incentive than the public area banks bunch in the start of changes while it was equivalent for both the bank bunches in 2003-04.
- As far as Return on Equity proportion is concerned, it was higher for private area banks bunch than the public area banks bunch in kick the bucket start of changes. Be that as it may, the Return on Equity proportion was the higher for public area banks bunch than the private area banks bunch in the post-change period.
- In the start of changes. Net Interest Margin was higher in the private area banks bunch than that of the public area banks gathering. However, in the post - change period NIM was the higher for the public area banks bunch than the private area banks gathering.

- The investigation shows that, both the general population and private area bank bunches accomplished serenely required Capital Adequacy Ratio for example 9 percent in 2003-04.
- The normal CAR of the public area banks gathering and the private area banks bunch showed a consistent rising pattern during the investigation time frame for example 1996-97 and 2003-04. The normal CAR of public area banks bunch was at 8.51 percent in the year 1996-97 and it rose to 12.74 percent in 2003-04. While the normal CAR of the private area banks bunch was 9.85 percent in 1996-97, which rose up to 14.05 percent in 2003-04.
- Equity Multiplier proportion was better for the public area banks bunch than the private area banks bunch in the start of change though it was better for the private area banks bunch than the public area banks bunch in the post - change period.
- The examination of net NPAs to net advances proportion shows that the private area banks bunch enlisted lower net NPAs proportion than those of the public area banks bunch in the year 1996-97. In any case, in the year 2003-04, the public area banks bunch enlisted lower net NPAs proportion than that of the private area banks gathering.
- Net NPAs to net advances proportion of pass on open area banks gathering, was at 9.69 percent in 1996-97, which descended essentially to 3.69 percent in 2003-04. Net NPAs to net advances proportion of the private area banks bunch had likewise descended possibly from 5.54 percent to 5.37 percent during a similar period.
- The private area banks bunch enrolled preferred Interest Expense Ratio over open area banks bunch in the start of changes. Notwithstanding, Interest Expense Ratio was better for the public area banks bunch than the private area banks bunch in the post - change period.
- Thus the accessible proof demonstrates that the benefit, effectiveness and profitability execution of the private area banks improved in the post-changes period contrasted with bite the dust start of banking area changes.

8.0 Conclusion

The inception of advancement in 1990 the financial sector involves huge organization of business banks, stock trades, monetary establishment and wide scope of money related instruments which has gone through a basic change. The passage of private banks has expanded competition and has seriously improved the effectiveness and gainfulness of public banks to where they are presently equivalent to private banks. The Indian financial area has watched an astounding movement in its operational climate during the most recent decade and furthermore results a few downsides because of progression. A correlation of the proportions considered here shows that however the presentation of the public area banks has enhanced practically all tallies. The exhibition of the private area banks has been exceptional at the hour of starting the changes just as in post-changes period. It might be securely inferred that there was a pressing requirement for the budgetary area changes to improve the presentation of the financial area. In any case, the public area banks are as yet falling behind the private area banks in their exhibition.

References

1. Bhide M.G., Prasad A. and Ghosh, S., "Banking Sector Reforms", Economic and Political Weekly, VOL. XXXVE No.5, pp 399-408, Feb.2, 2002.
2. D'Souza, Errol, "How Well Have Public Sector Banks Done? A Note", Economic and Political Weekly, VOL.XXXVII, No.9, pp 867-70, March 2-8, 2002.
3. Khan, N.A. & Rahmatullah Md., "Performance of Commercial Banks in India", Southern Economist, Vol.44, No. l, pp 55- 58, May 1, 2005.
4. Mohan, R. "Globalisation: the Role of Institution Building in the Financial Sector: The Indian Case", Reserve Bank of India Bulletin, p 123, February 2004.
5. Ram Mohan, T. T., "Deregulation and performance of Public Sector Banks", Economic and Political Weekly, Vol. XXXVII No.5 pp 393-397 Feb2, 2002.
6. Sathye, M., "Privatisation, Performance, and Efficiency: A Study of Indian Banks", Vikalpa, Vol.30, No. l, pp 7-16, Jan- March 2005.